

ARGUMENT FROM **ILLUSIONS into**

I have unwittingly ruined my country. President Wilson, upon passage of the Federal Reserve Act, 1913


REALITY



Website under construction
E-mail Alec for regular updates data1@telkomsa.net

My views without prejudice, all rights reserved

Alec McGregor of the family Cairns



Through my research, all Information, is in the Public domain.

Well it will be after you have read it.

As Gandhi reminded us, ‘The Earth has enough for everyone’s needs, but not for some people’s greed.’

CONTENTS

Introduction	4
Bank of England	8
Democratic Societies	11
Certificate of Birth	15
Your Strawman is being traded	20
Housing	27
Why Bankers get billions and people get debt	31
Ownership of the Federal Reserve	40
IMF	60
How to curb the population	67
League of Nations / United Nations	77
In the year 2525	79
One World Order	81
Conclusion	88

Introduction

Born on the 2nd February 1948 AD, Flesh and Blood, pure, innocent, and without any foresight into the journey I was about to embark on throughout the remainder of my un-natural life, due to the fact that what should have been was not.

Neither did my Mom and Dad for that matter have any inclination on what was evolving, I do not believe they had the slightest idea of how they had been manipulated, into a system of entrapment. And in an era with nearly zero inflation, why should they, the standard of living was acceptable, even with all the screws being tightened by the progressive few, "You know who."

I remember whilst growing up, my mom used to send me to the corner café for ticky soup greens, yes we were still on Pounds Shillings and Pence then, Decimal Dan only came at a later stage, that was the changing from the Imperial to the Metric system.

We moved from Kensington, into our own home in Moffat View, South of Johannesburg, my dad bought the property for 5000 pounds; the conversion rate at that stage was 1-1

The initial circulation coins of the Republic were the following¹

- **½ cent**
- **1 cent**
- **2½ cents** (replaced 3d)
- **5 cents** (replaced 6d)
- **10 cents** (replaced 1s)
- **20 cents** (replaced 2s)
- **50 cents** (replaced 5s)

The corner café was owned by a Greek fellow, who saved our bacon on many occasions, through our purchase of produce and suchlike, payment only being at the end of the month. (Interest free), most of the corner café's were owned by Portuguese or Greeks, that grew there own produce on smallholdings in the suburbs for resale in there café's. There were none of the Large supermarket conglomerates, or large Corporations, life was not all

roses I must admit, however a lot more pleasant, home cooking, family gatherings, me thinks the name McDonald was still in Scotland , I don't believe he had even started on the journey of Fast Foods.

Everyone knew everyone else (Sometimes that was not such a good idea for me); People could talk to one another, you new your neighbor, the Postman used to deliver the post on his bicycle, the Municipalities still mowed the pavements then, we used to dress up to go to the movies and the Church, which incedently was a big event, everyone received little bottles of orange juice, or milk (NOT PASTURISED) and fruit at school for lunch.

We never saw Policy men then because it was not necessary as crime was not the order of the day, the only time I saw them was when we caught a trolley bus to town as we did not have a motor vehicle, they were walking the beat and were friendly to you, there were still trams in those days (those busses that rode on rail tracks).

Going through this dream world, how could anyone have ever believed, that the process was already being implemented? Even today I believe 99.9% of the people are not aware of what is transpiring throughout the world.

I came to this conclusion in the latter years of the manipulators stranglehold on my very being, at age 62 to be precise, and what I researched, filled me with all sorts of undesirable emotions (like how many pound per square inch can I achieve with an aluminum baseball bat).

Having been brought up in a middle class, English speaking family in South Africa, with one Stepbrother and Sister in the Apartheid era 1948, The year The United Party led by Jan Smuts lost the General election to The Nationalist Party under DF Malan, the following election in 1953 confirmed National Party power, and the era into Apartheid, and the advancement of the Afrikaner interests,

It was during this era of Apartheid in the 70's, that I attended a presentation in Alberton by Mr. W. Cleon Skousen.

Dr. Skousen served the FBI for 16 years (1935-1951), and worked closely with J. Edgar Hoover. In 1951 he was asked to join the faculty

of Brigham Young University. Here he headed up the Student Alumni organization. In 1956 he was asked to serve as Chief of Police of Salt Lake City. During his 4 year service, he also wrote his national best seller, "The Naked Communist."

He also was the editor of the nations leading police magazine, "Law and Order." In 1960 he left the police force and began speaking tours around the country on the political crisis during that time period. He also ran for the governor's office in Utah,

Whilst attending the presentation, Cleon Skousen mentioned an organization called the New World Order to which he made reference to in both his books "The Naked Capitalist and The Naked Communist" names of people places etc

At that time I was neither interested or concerned about this so called New World Order, as I was young and had my mind on other things, and also thinking the man was insane, what he was advocating was that the World was being controlled by a "SELECTED" few, now come on, who in there right mind would believe that anyone could control the economic wealth of the world, and governments, and there I left it

Like most of us I fell in love got married, we saved for our dream of owning our own home, we had already purchased a 1100 Mini Minor, to which if I am not mistaken cost us R1100-00, anyway the "BIG" day came, we had saved up enough for a deposit, a grand total of R3000-00, and off we went looking for our dream, and found it in a suburb in the South of Johannesburg, Roseacre,

From when I first started working I have always paid my taxes, had a legitimate drivers license, to which incedently, I passed on the first attempt, no mean feat And always considered myself a law abiding citizen, I didn't say a role model , however a law abiding citizen, the rest is history and life proceeded accordingly.

It was in mid 2010 that I heard of Mr. Johan Joubert, (God rest his soul) the forerunner I believe in South Africa on the Freeman through Scott Cundill from Majestic Interactive, (to which I am eternally grateful) via e-mails sent from Scott to myself and visa versa

Many thanks Scott

From that moment on my horizons broadened, myself (Is this English correct) and my friend Hugh had the privilege of talking to Johan from 10-00 to 1300 on Wednesday 12th January 2011, Throughout my illustrious (well I like to think so) career never have I heard a man speak with so much passion and conviction, I believe an authoritarian on the subject of "Freeman on the Land". Sadly he passed away on Saturday 15th January 2011.

A great loss indeed for many.

I must add that through all this time, I had been receiving e-mails from Scott on his interviews with Johan elaborating on his historical journey with his family In England, and why and how he had to learn to defend himself, against a system of enforcement and alienation, and the journey involving various governmental departments and corporations, mind blowing to say the least.

With the conviction of Johan's passion and the Internet, I started to do my research into who was running what, where and why, with regards "New World Order", now remember, this is the second time I have heard about the "New World Order" which is well on the way to becoming a **One World Order** (how this would tie into my rights to be called a Freeman and to what it would entail, in the event of accepting Freeman status), first in the 1970's and again in 2011, not much to go on, as a lot of people hear a lot of things a lot of the times, and never put 2 + 2 together, or are not interested, as they do not believe it to be remotely possible, or probable, another conspiracy theory.

I remembered at our Interview with Johan, there was a vast amount of history discussed, so my next course of action would be to go into the history of the "English Monarchy and Admiralty law" and the Bank of England.

Now history has never been my best topic, to me the past was the past, I could not see how it would benefit me in the future,

Did I ever receive an eye opener?

HISTORY OF THE BANK OF ENGLAND

Man what a treat, now here is plenty history, not to be accused with plagiarism the "History of the Bank of England" was downloaded from <http://www.archive.org>

The author: Andreas, Andreas Michael 1876-1935
Call Number AKB-8327

To understand the concept of the Reserve Banks I believe it is important to have brief insight into the history of the Bank of England

The Bank of England was formed in 1694, (I don't know if we had even learnt to throw stones then,) Not to go into too much detail, as the book is 504 pages steeped in history, what I was trying to find was the formation to its independence from the United Kingdom.

What had happened over the years is the bailout of the Government by the Bank of England on many occasions, in the form of loans due to the numerous wars and conflicts and near bankruptsy.

Although a very interesting history lesson indeed, only in the latter years can you see the separation and independence, not from the United Kingdom, but from the Central Banks and after the acquisition of the nation's debts.

The independence from the Government of the United Kingdom would come in latter years, as you will see.

Whilst reading through the "History of the Bank of England" I learnt of the implementation of the Discounting of the Bills of Exchange in 1865

I also learnt that the very same practices of "Ceding Securities" that are being implemented today by the Banks, nearly crippled United Kingdom and the Bank of England with the folding of [Messrs. Overend, Gurney & Co.](#), a London Wholesale discount Bank, known as the "Bankers Bank" , due to the selling of Bills of Exchange at discounted Rates

Bank of England

GEO. FORBES, Chief Cashier.

Dated the 30th December, 1869.

There are here 15,000,000 L. bank notes issued on securities, and 18,288,640 L. represented by bullion. **The Bank of England has no power by law to increase the currency in any other manner.** It holds the stipulated amount of securities, and for all the rest it must have bullion. This is the 'cast iron' system—the 'hard and fast' line which the opponents of the Act say ruins us, and which the partisans of the Act say saves us. But I have nothing to do with its expediency here. All which is to my purpose is that our paper 'legal tender,' our bank notes, can only be obtained in this manner. If, therefore, an English banker retains a sum of Bank of England notes or coin in due proportion to his liabilities, he has a sufficient amount of the legal tender of this country, and he need not think of anything more.

The **Bank of England** (formally the **Governor and Company of the Bank of England**) is (despite its name) the central bank of the whole of the United Kingdom and is the model on which most modern central banks have been based. It was established in 1694 to act as the English Government's banker, and to this day it still acts as the banker for HM Government. **The Bank was privately owned and operated from its foundation in 1694.** It was subordinated to the Treasury after 1931 in making policy and was nationalised in 1946.

In 1997 it became an independent public organisation, wholly owned by the Treasury Solicitor on behalf of the Government, with independence in setting monetary policy.

The Bank has a monopoly on the issue of banknotes in England and Wales, although not in Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Isle of Man, or the Channel Islands.^[citation needed] The Bank's Monetary Policy Committee (now remember it is privately owned and independent of politics as of 1997) has devolved responsibility for managing the monetary policy of the country. The Treasury has reserve powers to give orders to the committee "if they are required **in the public interest** and by extreme economic circumstances" but such orders must be endorsed by Parliament within 28 days (**No more**). The Bank's Financial Policy Committee held its first meeting in June 2011 as a macro prudential regulator to oversee regulation of the UK's financial sector.

The Bank's headquarters has been located in London's main financial district, the City of London, on Threadneedle Street, since 1734. It is sometimes known by the metonym *The Old Lady of Threadneedle*

Street or simply *The Old Lady*. The current Governor of the Bank of England is Sir Mervyn King, who took over on 30 June 2003 from Sir Edward George. As well as the London offices, the Bank of England also has secondary offices on King Street in Leeds

1997: Brown sets Bank of England free

The Chancellor, Gordon Brown, has given the Bank of England independence from political control.

His surprise announcement - coming only four days after Labour's landslide election win - is being described as the most radical shake-up in the bank's 300-year history.

BANK OF ENGLAND

London EC2R 8AH

Ben Norman 5 March 2010

Deputy Secretary of the Bank

Tel: 020-7601 4748

Fax: 020-7601 5460

E-mail: ben.norman@bankofengland.co.uk

Mr E Danielyan

Via email to: request-28738-5d32ba2c@whatdotheyknow.com

Dear Mr Danielyan

Thank you for your email of 10 February requesting certain information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 ('Fol Act') regarding Bank of England Nominees Ltd ('BOEN').

It might be helpful if I were to clarify at the outset the status of BOEN and the Bank of England (the Bank) under the Fol Act, in relation to any information which may be held by BOEN or the Bank concerning the questions you have raised. BOEN is not a 'public authority' within the meaning of the Fol Act and accordingly is not subject to the Act. As regards the Bank, Parts I to V of the Fol Act (including the general right of access under section 1) do not apply to information which it holds for the purposes *'of its functions with respect to... (c) the provision of private banking services and related services'* {see section 7 and Schedule 1, Part VI of the Fol Act).

Information which the Bank holds in relation to any banking services it provides to BOEN is covered by this provision. Subject to this, I can provide the following information in response to your questions. BOEN acts as a nominee company to hold securities on behalf of certain customers. It is a private limited company, incorporated in England and Wales in 1977, and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank. The shareholders are the Bank and John Footman, who holds his share as nominee on behalf of the Bank. The directors are John Footman and Andrew Bailey. The Secretary of State has granted BOEN an exemption under section 796 Companies Act 2006, which means that BOEN is not subject to the notification provisions in section 793 Companies Act 2006. The exemption has been granted to a subsidiary of the Bank because it is intended to apply only to BOEN and persons on whose behalf securities are held by BOEN, rather than the Bank itself.

Yours sincerely

Ben Norman

Deputy Secretary of the Bank

They are not subject to the notification provision as would you or I be.
One rule for one, one rule for another

DEMOCRATIC SOCIETIES

Now I know that when I was born, I was issued with a Birth Certificate, and registered accordingly, now one would say that this a must be for keeping record on Hatches, Matches, and Dispatches. And i would be right, so what's the issue.

The Issue as I see it is twofold.

The first Issue relates to the Economy, to which was in practice long before I entered into this life, I just slotted into a system, a system that had been manipulated into a practice of illusions and deceptions, by the power of the elite.

Whilst doing research, I looked at the Constitution of various countries, and every constitution I researched always referred to "By the people for the people" now to me this meant that , "The people" should have transparency in Legislation/ Acts that would be ENFORCED on them for their so-called benefit. I have since learnt that it is the understanding of words that one would interpret the meaning, such as "Understand the charges" what they are really saying is " do you stand under the charges" Think carefully before responding to Legalese.

I am privileged to have been brought up in a Democratic society, and all the benefits that go with it, the Government is voted in by the majority, and all have a constitutional right to vote into Government a group of **PEOPLE** that they **think**, will be a benefit to the **PEOPLE**.

The Government, is the Custodian of the Land on behalf of the people, the Governments do not own anything, the people own everything that the Government has purchased, Buildings, Ministerial houses etc, Salaries are paid by the people in the form of taxes and suchlike In a perfect world this tells me that the People are employing the members of Government and Municipalities, and the Government and Municipalities should act accordingly.

If we the People are supposed to be the Employer, why are so many Legislations being passed or about to be passed that are not to our benefit, such as "The Protection of Information Bill" of 2010 and

suchlike, what would our employees have to hide, who are they dealing with that we are not allowed to know about.

Or maby we are not mature enough to understand, bearing in mind intelligence is not a criteria to run for either Government or Municipalities for that matter, or is it that the control of countries are being dictated to by outside influences, such as the IMF, World Bank, United Nations, Commonwealth, Federal Reserve Bank, World Health Organization and Spiritual organizations.

Read on and make up your own mind

Lets look at the interpretation of the words "Consent of the Governed" taken from Wikipedia

"Consent of the governed" is a phrase synonymous with a political theory where in a government's legitimacy and moral right to use state power is only justified and legal when derived from the people or society over which that political power is exercised. This theory of "consent" is historically contrasted to the divine right of kings and has often been invoked against the legitimacy of colonialism. Article 21 of the "Universal Declaration of Human" (To which I have attached a copy near the end) Rights states that "The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government."

Now the types of Governed

A key question is whether the unanimous consent of the governed is required; if so, this would imply the right of secession for those who do not want to be governed by a particular collective. All democratic governments today allow decisions to be made even over the overt dissent of a minority of voters, which in some theorists' view, calls into question whether said governments can rightfully claim, in all circumstances, to act with the consent of the governed.

The theory of hypothetical consent of the governed holds that one's obligation to obey government depends on whether the government is such that one *ought* to consent to it, or whether the people, if placed in a state of nature without government, would agree to said government. This theory has been rejected by some scholars, who argue that, since government itself can commit aggression, creating a government to safeguard the people from aggression would be similar to the people, if given the choice of what animals to be attacked by,

trading "polecats and foxes for a lion," a trade that they would not make.

Another division that is sometimes made is between overt consent and tacit consent. Overt consent, to be valid, would require voluntariness, a specific act on the part of the consenters, a particular act consented to, and specific agents who perform this action. Immigrating into a particular jurisdiction is sometimes regarded as an overt act indicating consent to be ruled by that jurisdiction's government. Not all who are ruled by a particular government have immigrated to that jurisdiction, however; some were born there.

It has been pointed out that in jurisdictions where proportional representation is not used, but candidates are instead elected by plurality vote, a candidate can be elected despite the overt dissent of a majority of the people. Not every voter has necessarily had an opportunity to vote on the constitutional provisions specifying that plurality voting should be used; according to some theorists, this calls into question whether said voters have consented to be governed by the candidates who obtain plurality support. A counter-argument is that, by failing to act through the process of constitutional amendment to change such provisions, the people have consented to them. A rebuttal to this is that in some jurisdictions, the means of amending

the constitution are not completely in the hands of the electorate; the same issues arise, in claiming that the constitution left in place by the decisions of the people's elected representatives is consented to by the people, as arise in claiming that the any other actions taken by said representatives are consented to by the people. Some proponents of the "overt consent" theory hold that the act of voting implies consent, while others question the connection between voting and consenting to a particular scheme of representative, since some voters may oppose the system as a whole but desire to influence decisions on particular issues or candidates.

The theory of tacit consent of the governed holds that if the people live in a country that is not undergoing a rebellion, they have consented to the rule of that country's government, now what this says to me is that being a minority, I must accept the voting of the majority even though I did not consent.

I'M AFRAID THEY ARE RIGHT

You always have the right to vote for an alternate party, what if none of the party's charter comes close to what you would envisage as "For the People", there is no way I would consciously consider a decline in my standard of living, by any means whatsoever, through inferior services, so maybe I have been sleeping, or not paying enough attention, THIS I DO NOT BELIEVE, Something else was happening.

This brings me to a quote by Edward M. House in his memoirs to Woodrow Wilson, then President of the USA.

Edward Mandell House (July 26, 1858 – March 28, 1938) was an American diplomat, politician, and presidential advisor. Commonly known by the title of Colonel House, although he had no military experience, he had enormous personal influence with U.S. President Woodrow Wilson as his foreign policy advisor until Wilson removed him in 1919. Colonel House was also the founder of the **Council on Foreign Relations** (CFR) - in which Rockefeller is a permanent member - which has an enormous influence until today recruiting elite members in the world. Edward Mandell House is attributed with giving a very detailed outline of the New World Order plans that were to be implemented gradually over time to enslave the American people ... a plan that has been repeated in Canada, Australia, Britain South Africa and elsewhere. He stated, in a private meeting with Woodrow Wilson

*Very soon every American will be required to **register their biological property in a national system designed to keep track of the people** and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a charge back for our fiat paper currency.*

Every American will be forced to register or suffer being able to work and earn a living. They will be our chattels (property) and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading (Birth Certificate) to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, secured by their pledges.

They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two should figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debts to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges.

*This will inevitably reap us huge profit beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor to this fraud, which we will call 'Social Insurance'. Without realizing it, every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly. **The people will become helpless and without***

any hope for their redemption and we will employ the high office (presidency) of our dummy corporation (USA) to foment this plot against America.

And wham bam it went global

Certificate of Birth

After many months of research on the terminology "Freeman on the Land" my findings, whilst within the parameters of the research, tended to deviate(only slightly) to a far more sinister motive of power, greed, , values on right and wrong, and the disregard to the meaning of the laws of nature, conduct and ethics.

Having given a great deal of thought to my findings, one point came to mind,

If, being part of the so called "SELECT FEW", have all the wealth and economical power, (which had taken many years of lies, deceit and manipulation) what would be my next **PORT** of call?

"TOTAL CONTROL"

How could I possibly achieve this?

Let's start with a small scenario,

1) Whilst driving you get pulled over onto the side of the road, the Policy man say's you have been speeding, the demerit system with regards your drivers licence is in force, Through sign language and mumblings you are advised the Policy man is hungry, you proceed to feed him, and off you go, problem solved.

Having taken his name you phone him the next morning, your friend has a few Infringements, can he do something for a small retainer, and all infringements mysteriously disappear.

ONE POLICY MAN IN YOUR POCKET

2) A Building contract comes up for tender, you have connections, family in the right place, or a debt owed, simple, and the deal is done, it's not what you know, but who you know.

You see you have now established an element of so called TRUST; you are now within that circle, amongst these involved there is a "Code of

Conduct”, and eventually there is one big circle that keeps on growing, not all large Corporations operate this way, however many do.

And so it grows and grows

In 1973 I remember seeing a movie “**Soylent Green**” starring Charlton Heston, Edward G. Robinson and Leigh Taylor-Young.

The movie related around an overpopulated futuristic Earth, a New York police detective finds himself marked for murder by government agents, when he gets too close to a bizarre state secret involving the origins of a revolutionary, and need for new foodstuff, with climate change already ruining lives in poorer countries, and corporate corruption a constant topic with political activists, the rain forests disappearing and not enough fish left in the sea to last us another 40 years.

Do you think that the research done below is as far fetched as I thought the Movie was in 1973?

These are my own personal observations, I am not here to convince you, and the facts have been laid bare.

Issues of REGISTRATIONS of Birth

Having parental responsibility for a child, means that you are **legally** responsible for your child's life. Married parents automatically have joint parental responsibility

In terms of the Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1992, all births in South Africa, including those of children born out of wedlock, **must be registered.**

However in Chapter 11 of the Act

40(6) No person’s birth shall be registered unless a FORENAME & SURNAME have been assigned to him

Not having the same intelligence as the parties drawing up the act, this kind of confused me

On the one hand it says all births must be registered

WOW!

ON the other hand it says if my child does not have a FORENAME & SURNAME the birth will not be registered

Of course, how stupid of me! Can't see the wood for the trees here, to much Legislation and Acts

Now myself personally, I think maby it is a good idea to register your child, BECAUSE IT CAN BE AN INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO (I will have to think about this one, providing I can claim the investment) from a monetary perspective, not too sure from what age trading commences. However there should be a few bucks at the age of 21.

Imagine the Present you can give him/her (only if you can reclaim it by then) all at no cost to yourself, besides the taxes, and all.

If the above confuses you, please read on, you will soon discover how we are all being manipulated.

The maxim of law becomes crucially operative: "He who fails to assert his rights has none."

Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1992,

Secrecy

10 29. (1) Subject to the provisions of subsection (2), no person shall publish or
communicate to any other person any information obtained from documents or
records mentioned in section 5(1), and which he acquired by virtue of his
functions in terms of this Act or any other law, except for the purposes of this
Act, judicial proceedings or the performance of functions in terms of any other
15 law, and no person who has come into possession of any such information shall
publish the information or communicate it to any other person.

(2) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (1), the Director-General
may furnish any information in relation to a person submitted in terms of this
Act to—

- 20 (a) any department of State, local authority or statutory body for any of
the statutory purposes of that department, authority or body; or
(b) any person who has applied therefor in writing with a full exposition of
the purposes for which the information is required and who has paid
the prescribed fees, if any, provided the Director-General is satisfied
25 that the furnishing of that information is in the interest of the person
regarding whom particulars are requested or is in the public interest.

According to the Act, You gave the Director General permission to give your details to other parties.

Either something is confidential or not, especially **if it is your Birth Certificate**

As per clause 29 (2) (a) (b)

Now I must admit that on hearing that MY Birth Certificate was being traded sounded like something out of a James Bond movie.

I came across many Blogs and YouTube's, one that really got my interest was from someone whose ID was Drack 420 on www.godlikeproductions.com

Re: you are stock in a corporation (did you know your birth certificate number is listed on NY stock exchange) [Quote](#) [\[+\]](#) <#>

WARNING: Poor spelling punctuation and grammar ahead
DISCLAIMER: I don't care because if you attack my spelling you are skipping the real issue and are not worth the time it would take to respond.

To the broker and the joker dummies that can't fathom this to be possible get it through your stupid skull it's happening and if you don't believe it you're lying to yourself out of fear that it is true. In 1913 the Federal Reserve Act was put into the US for the 3rd time (it was previously known as the first and second bank of the United States but it didn't go over well the first two times and thus they changed the name and started the process of slavery). Then in 1933 the globalists engineered the Great Depression so the Federal Reserve could step in and fix the problem by infusing fiat currency into the dead country so life could continue as normal and all they asked the government to do in return was pledge all citizens both current and future into taxed slavery and the birth certificate came to pass. I have looked up my Cusip ID number on the Canadian exchange and I am there being traded at a handsome sum I might add. Now don't take my word for it cause I could be lying.. sooooo... grab your wallet, pull out your BC go to an investing site choose research >> Quotes >> select mutual funds >> then the other drop down menu choose fund name >. next under the symbol entry system enter the first 3 numbers after the letter(s) (1 letter for older folks 2 letters for newer generations example my dad has 1 letter before the number I have two letters denoting a whole new series of slaves).

Now if your security fund doesn't pop up then I'm an idiot and a quack but when it does because I've done it and know that it will that might snap some sense into your skull and get you to wake up and do some research before you go and start saying something is nonsense when you have no actual idea what is really going on. Then maybe you will stop watching CNN and turn to the alternative online media get the Truth. Not to say that alternative media is all true but one thing is for sure when the BP oil spill was at the peak the alt media was looking for answers and CNN was getting us to worry about poor Lindsey Lohan going jail.

Sorry for the massive rant but stupid sheeple get on my nerves because if the globalists win then it will be the sheeple that deliver them victory through ignorance.

Here are some additional links with more info on the Birth Certificate scam for those with more open minds that wanna understand what the world is really about.

Here is a link to show you how to check your BC # if my instructions were unclear

[[link to www.youtube.com](http://www.youtube.com)]

and here is a site that in detail with legal definitions explains who what where why and when of the issue it is very long so sheeple afraid of doing abit of homework this second link may not be for you. To all the rest, Enjoy!

[[link to www.canadiancommerce.ca](http://www.canadiancommerce.ca)]

WOW!

I only found out a few months ago

There was a trust created in your name when you were registered as a baby, and there is an actual bond tracking number on your birth certificate. This bond can be used for the purposes of setting-off debt, and actually aids your country in reducing the national debt. This method has been successfully used by quite a few people who know about it, and obviously does not have mainstream coverage as it has been hidden for a long time.

I myself personally have not tried the process, as I have not managed to obtain a duplicate Birth certificate, take note there are three types of certificates if you apply for one, Short, Long and vault copy.

However I have seen evidence in a presentation on the subject, and my research has indicated that this is indeed happening.

To be more specific, a second "negotiable instrument" is drawn on the value of the first that is held by the state, which is then bought and so "accepted for value" and then held by the Depository Trust Corporation. Negotiable Instruments include 'Promissory Notes', 'Bills of Exchange', 'Letters of credit', 'Bills of lading', 'Securities' (such as stocks and bonds) as in the "security of the person", 'Deeds' and 'IOUs'.

From this it is easy to conclude that the baby is to assume the liability for any burden created or associated with the *strawman* or *trade name* listed on the ***birth certificate***.

YOUR STRAWMAN IS BEING TRADED

Reclaiming your God given right

A **straw man** is a component of an argument and is an informal fallacy based on misrepresentation of an opponent's position. To "attack a straw man" is to create the illusion of having refuted a proposition by substituting it with a superficially similar yet unequivocal proposition (the "straw man"), and refuting it, without ever having actually refuted the original position

Freeman: An individual not tied to land under medieval serfdom, unlike a villain or serf

Me, myself, and I, and how this Corporation materialised

My straw man has a fictitious name, written in a manner not provided for in the rules of English grammar. My straw man has a same-sounding name as Alec McGregor Cairns, but is artificial, and exists only by the force of or in contemplation of law. My straw man is a distinct legal entity that benefits the creator - the Government of the Republic of South Africa - because the creator can then accomplish things in the name of my straw man, that would not otherwise be permitted e.g. secretly acquire property etc. My straw man is: ALEC MCGREGOR CAIRNS.

The Government of The Republic of South Arica has been using my straw man for the purpose of siphoning off wealth from me, an otherwise sovereign man, and conveying the proceeds to its creditors, the private Bank, South African Reserve Bank and the IMF. It is my intention to now use my straw man for my benefit, rather than continuing to be enslaved and abused because of it.

My straw man is defined as, and serves as, a "transmitting utility."

The operators/controllers of the political/economic/industrial system, have set it up so that the only way for Alec McGregor Cairns to access the industrial goods and services of the Republic of South Africa, is through a nominal third party, a front man, a dummy, a public corporation of one, a corporately colored juristic person, a straw man - a utility through which said goods and services may be transmitted. This means that the only way that Alec McGregor Cairns can acquire goods, and services, is through my straw man. I cannot keep my body alive, and survive in the world today without the industrial goods and services of the Republic of South Africa, so I have been forced to interface through my straw man, in order to partake of them. Because my straw man has no body, and because I am the one actually benefitting from the consumptions/use of the industrial goods and services of the nation, and because, I do not hold title to my straw man, I am the one responsible for discharging the public liability associated with the "benefits", that come to you in the name of my straw man.

The "purpose not otherwise permitted" is "extraction of income tax from a sovereign" that is myself - something I would not knowingly agree to. All "income" is "corporate income," and my straw man is a dummy public corporation. Because my straw man is registered (my birth certificate), and because I cannot work for another except through my Labour (Identity Number) or have a bank account except through my Identity Number, taxes have been relatively easy to monitor, assess and collect from ALEC MCGREGOR CAIRNS all claims made against me, whether civil or criminal, are instituted in the name of my straw man, the title to which is currently held by the Government of The Republic of South Africa. Accordingly, upon acquiring legal title to my straw man, I will have the capacity to protect my interests so that no one can come against Alec McGregor Cairns via my straw man ALEC MCGREGOR CAIRNS and a perfect claim.

I am considering that it is possible that the Department of Industry Trade and Commerce (or some other Department) of the Republic of South Africa acquired my Birth Certificate via the City of Johannesburg in the Province of Gauteng, (When I was born it was the Transvaal) which in turn accepted it from my parents, who unwittingly pledged me, Alec McGregor Cairns to the "state" and delivered me into bondage, slavery, and the status of being chattel property in a state of permanently indentured servitude.

The Department of Industry Trade and Commerce or some other Governmental department became the de facto holder of the Certificate of Rights to my body, labor, and property and gave me a claim check, a pawn receipt, a warehouse receipt, a certificate of deposit -called a birth certificate.

Whoever the holder of my Birth Certificate may now be, has no more right to it than a pawnbroker holding a possession for me in my absence and awaiting my return to claim it, to redeem it.

The current holder of my Birth Certificate is able to capitalize on it because of my inaction and silence, that is, my failure to instruct the said holder otherwise. When I accept for value, my Birth Certificate I re-acquire title and regain my crown - I reinstall myself on my own throne. I regain dominion and sovereignty over my own kingdom. I have learned that there are only two classes of people in our modern Republic, as well as in all legal proceedings: Creditors and Debtors.

Once I have established my sovereignty in law, I become the creditor, and any adverse party in dispute with me is registered to the inferior status of debtor. As long as I fail to establish my sovereignty in law, I do not hold title to anything in my life, I have no legal capacity and I am devoid of standing to assert any rights, that is, I remain a permanent debtor, and I must always lose in any dispute with the system for "failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted." Reclaiming title to my straw man acts as a bar, or estoppel, to any and all comers. If I fail to reclaim rights to title of my straw man making me the creditor and absolute ruler of my straw man, I will not be sovereign and I will lose at resolution of any dispute.

When I establish my sovereignty and self-ownership my entire life will be dramatically affected. I simply become the sovereign, rather than a hopeless debtor and chattel property.

For those who are not familiar with Chattel property

1. *Law* An article of movable personal property.
2. A slave.

In summary, the Republic(in any aspect, any jurisdiction, any country, any culture, any time) can act against me via my straw man only if said Republic holds title to my straw man by virtue of my failure to redeem the document of title, the Birth Certificate, to the straw man. My failure to do so constitutes assent to the presumption that the Republic is the de facto owner and holder of the title to my straw man.

The security instrument is my Birth Certificate. The bankers presently hold title. I am the collateral. This can happen only by omission/failure to rebut my presumption of security interest in everything associated with the debtor – ALEC MCGREGOR CAIRNS.

The bankers have conquered and enslaved the world only because of the ignorance, apathy, and default of each slave - including myself. This is a free-will universe. The unit of experience, choice, rights, and sovereignty is each individual like me. I am the unique being in the universe with the right, knowledge, or duty to speak for myself; no one else can assert my rights for me. If I fail to assert my rights, I have tacitly agreed to be a slave, subject to the whims of my masters.

It is of utmost importance to understand that the bankers' claim of a security interest in everything associated with my straw man via my Birth Certificate is by presumption only, and stands only because of your failure to rebut it. In South Africa I can file a

NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT AND CLAIM OF RIGHT

Which I can file against my straw man absolutely rebuts any presumption of a valid claim against my straw man by anyone other than myself, while at the same time itself being un rebuttable. There are many reasons for this, the most crucial being:

1. Any substantiation of a bona fide contract between me and to seize and hold (the power or rights of another, for example) by force or without legal authority of my throne is impossible because there never was a bona fide contract due to absence of true agreement based upon the interaction being devoid of full disclosure, genuine meeting of minds, and mutual good faith.

2. I am correctly presumed in law (all law, including theirs), to be the foundation, and unit of sovereignty. Whatever I choose to do or not to do is presumed to be (and always is) so by my free choice. If I fail to refute their presumption that I am subject to their system they possess the grounds to presume that I chose to act as I did, with full knowledge of the law. The same philosophy that has worked against me can work for me when I rebut their presumption and redeem my sovereign capacity.

If the real me (Alec McGregor Cairns, secured party) has the supreme claim against the artificial me ALEC MCGREGOR CAIRNS, (my straw man, my debtor), the system cannot perfect a claim against either (my straw man or my real and lawful name of Christian birthright); my straw man because I hold the supreme claim thereon; my real self because the system - another straw man - can deal only with other like entities i.e. other straw men.

It would be interesting to see, and I see no reason that it cannot be, all Freemen on the Land be tried in a South African court under Customary law, Section 36 of the Bill of Rights states

1. The rights in the Bill of Rights may be limited only in terms of law of general application to the extent that the limitation is reasonable and justifiable in an open and democratic society based on **human dignity**, equality and **freedom**, taking into account all relevant factors, including
 - a. the nature of the right;
 - b. the importance of the purpose of the limitation;
 - c. the nature and extent of the limitation;
 - d. the relation between the limitation and its purpose; and
 - e. Less restrictive means to achieve the purpose.
2. Except as provided in subsection (1) or in any other provision of the Constitution, no law may limit any right entrenched in the Bill of Rights.

Methinks it could be worth researching, if a Freeman on the Land is tried under customary law, A JURY OF 12 would have to be implemented and, the Judge/ Magistrate would have to abide by his Oath of Office.

As my Customary law is of Common law principals that fall within the Constitution and the Bill of Rights Section 36

Custom in law is the established pattern of behavior that can be objectively verified within a particular social setting. A claim can be carried out in defense of "what has always been done and accepted by law." Customary law exists where:

1. a certain legal practice is observed and
2. The relevant actors consider it to be law (*opinio juris*).

A right enjoyed through long custom rather than positive law

Have we now reached this point?

- Where human rights for all are turned into inhuman codes;
- Where sovereignty is replaced by supremacy;
- Where tolerance, which in the first place is the rejection of the intolerable, becomes the right to practice the intolerable;
- Where democracy becomes a slogan in support of hegemony;
- Where the rights of the weakest are modeled on the abuses of the rights of the strongest with the result that victims are turning into a new force of cruelty in their own right

Oath of Office: Judge

"I, A.B., swear/solemnly affirm that, as a Judge of the Constitutional Court/Supreme Court of Appeal/High Court/ E.F. Court, I will be faithful to the Republic of South Africa, will uphold and protect the Constitution and the human rights entrenched in it, and will administer justice to all persons alike without fear, favour or prejudice, in accordance with the Constitution and the law. (In the case of an oath: So help me God.)"

Oath of Office: Magistrate

'I, (full name), do hereby swear/solemnly affirm that in my capacity as a judicial officer I will be faithful to the Republic of South Africa, will uphold and protect the Constitution and the human rights entrenched in it, and will

administer justice to all persons alike without fear, favour or prejudice, in accordance with the Constitution and the law.'

How's this for a scenario (It is confusing, however, when you read on you will understand)

Mr CAIRNS,

No Sir, I am Alec McGregor of the Family Cairns, Attorney in Fact for MR ALEC MCGREGOR CAIRNS.

Translation of Attorney in Fact (A person who is authorized to perform business-related transactions on behalf of someone else (the principal). In order to become someone's attorney in fact, a person must have the principal sign a power of attorney document. This document designates the person as an agent, allowing him or her to perform actions on the principal's behalf)

Where's Mr CAIRNS?

Right here in my pocket, would you like to speak to it (proceeds to pull out the ID Book of MR ALEC MCGREGOR CAIRNS)

That's an ID Book, Where is Mr. CAIRNS?

You are looking at it

Don't get clever, where is Mr CAIRNS?

Mr CAIRNS is a fictitious entity, a Corporation; I am Alec McGregor Cairns, Attorney in Fact for MR ALEC MCGREGOR CAIRNS.

And so we go on till by butt is in the slammer,

And after that, all sort's of possibilities open up.

a Birth Certificate [Full] can be used as a Negotiable Instrument to represent the value of the child and the work he/she will do for society in addition to pledging the child as chattel to the Government as Parent.

Now the problem with sending the NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT AND CLAIM OF RIGHT is that the Policy man and Enforcement Agencies will not accept the claim of right, as they themselves are clueless as to what has transpired through deceit and manipulation over time

HOUSING

The housing scam: FACT

On reading my research on the Illusion created I refer you to an actual court case which was "Ordered, Judged and Decreed"

<http://www.lawlibrary.state.mn.us/CreditRiver/CreditRiver.html>

At a glance, once again I thought I was dreaming, very few people new of the workings of the banking sector, and now being found guilty of perpetrating fraudulent foreclosures, what a revelation for the working people, the exposure of deposition testimony by **robo-signers** (A new terminology) who have admitted their fraud, and the bank's corrupt law firms, which were hired, to submit fraud upon the court

A process of securitization of your mortgage loan has been exposed to be **Illegal** through the courts in United States.

A scheme which made the Banker's trillionaires over and over again, we all know the consequence of these actions, it practically bankrupted the entire United States economy.

The scheme is complicated and was designed to be, so much so that even those who are fairly sophisticated in finance would not understand it.

I will try to break it down, where and how, the laws were broken.

Yes, and more specifically, the value (from which the new fiat currency or "fictitious capital" comes) is created by the borrower's signature on a 'Promissory Note', - a type of Negotiable Instrument. The value

created by the borrower's signature on the 'Promissory Note' is then "accepted for value" by the banker. This value is then credited to the borrower's account on paper or electronically (created "out of thin air" as long as the books are balanced). (As shown in the "Money As Debt" documentary.)

For this reason, it is termed "fictitious capital" and ceases to exist on repayment, although the bank will have well profited from it by the interest repayments on top.

Although, by law, in order for the bank to lend up to a given amount, a small fraction of the value must also exist separately as reserves. Though the new "fictitious capital" that is created does not come out of these reserves.

If a borrower creates value at one bank from his signature upon that negotiable instrument ("borrows" new fiat currency), buys something and then that value gets deposited in another bank, then, another borrower creates value at the second bank, buys something and deposits it in the first bank, the two banks are balanced and can profit very well on the interest payments on the "loan" of new fictitious capital.

However, the banks are not always balanced perfectly. In this case, if there is a large withdrawal in one bank, then that bank must make up by borrowing from the Central Bank, most likely at a slightly lower rate than it lent out the new "fictitious capital" to the borrow that took the loan.

The *legal-fiction* or *legal-entity* known as the 'person' is the one that must then pay back this debt according to the 'Acts' and 'Statutes' under the Maritime/Admiralty Jurisdiction that *acts* on this 'person'

Securitization of your Mortgage loan

Parcels of mortgage loans (hundreds in a parcel) would be bundled together then convert them into unregistered securities, (this is the document that you signed with the Bank for your supposed loan)

Please remember the only monies that changed hands is your deposit to the bank, by Bank Guaranteed Cheque, this is known as a bill of exchange,

Incedently, did you get a copy of the document, with both parties as signatories, I bet you did not, and there is a reason for this by the Banks

Was the Contract explained to you prior to signing, I bet it was not,

(A security, that has not been registered with the SEC, and therefore may not be sold publicly. These securities frequently enter portfolios of institutional investors through private placements and are sometimes registered at a later date *unregistered security*.)

They illegally sold those rights and interest to Investors as certificates.

All without your permission which is illegal

They create a Pass-through trust,

(Pass-Through Certificates (PTC) are instruments that evidence the ownership of two or more Equipment Trust Certificates. In other words, Equipment Trust Certificates may be bundled into a pass-through structure as a means of diversifying the asset pool and/or increasing the size of the offering. The principal and interest payments on the Equipment Trust Certificates are "passed through" to certificate holders.

In short, the term "pass-through" means the issuing company, such as The Bank has received money from the homeowner and passed it to the investor.)

In order to structure this in a way in which they could avoid double taxation, This Pass-Through Trust would then qualify as what's called a Special Purpose Vehicle ("SPV"), which under the IRS Code, would allow the Trust to pass-through the tax liability to the investors, who the securities certificates would ultimately be sold to. Those investors who purchased the securities are referred to as the Certificate holders. Additionally, the selling of your converted, unregistered securities provided you, the homeowner with an automatic right to rescind the loan, meaning the lender would have to give you all of your monthly payments made, and all of your closing costs back.

Next, since the sale of the rights and interest in the unregistered securities was illegal and invalid, no legal or equitable interest was ever transferred to the certificate holders. If the certificate holders have no legal or equitable interest in your property, then the certificate holders have no power of foreclosure of the property, and no rights upon which to pursue foreclosure against you, and their alleged rights of any sale are void.

Consequently, if your loan was securitized, and the Trustee for the Trust is attempting to foreclose on your property, you can file a civil lawsuit against the Trust, and have their foreclosure stopped, and receive statutory damages in the amount of 3x the loan balance amount, for their illegal and fraudulent attempt to foreclose on your property.

1.) **Participation in A RICO Enterprise through a Pattern of Racketeering Activity.** Yes, you will treat them like common mafia mob criminal gangsters. This law was enacted to stop organized crime.

2.) **Conspiracy to Commit Fraud and Conversion.** Yes, they illegally converted your promissory note into a security instrument.

3.) **Fraudulent Misrepresentation as To Standing to Foreclose.** Yes, they knew they had no rights to foreclose on your property, and yet they persisted in perpetrating a fraud upon the court.

Additionally, the enforceability of the note and deed of trust by conversion in securitization, without the consent of the trustor (homeowner) is an **IMPROPER CONVERSION AND ALTERATION OF THE NOTE AND DEED OF TRUST.**

However, again, most of these foreclosure cases involve the banks inability to produce the promissory note in order to prove they have any legal rights to foreclosure. Homeowners have additional legal strategies available to them, in order to stop the banks from fraudulently foreclosing on their homes.

One of the more popular strategies that have been employed is the "Produce the Note" Strategy. As a large percentage of mortgage loans were securitized, and sold to investors all over the world, it has been difficult, if not impossible for the banks to produce the required documents that would establish their right to foreclosure.

Why bankers get billions and people get debt

We are all under economical conquest. We are placed under tribute,

(A **tribute** (from Latin *tributum*, contribution) is wealth, often in kind, that one party gives to another as a sign of respect or, as was often the case in historical contexts, of submission or allegiance)

Whereby we do not realize that we have been conquered without any force or coercion.

Tribute is collected from us in the form of taxes and involuntary legal contributions, or taxes against some fictitious enemy, If I look at how much it cost the Taxpayer for billions spent on the Arms deal, the corruption and cover ups, If you or I had come even close to concluding a deal of this magnitude under the conditions that transpired, we would have been locked up for a long long time.

Taxes for Defence are extremely long lasting, as you very seldom see the enemy, we are free to speak, providing we say the right things, we can travel, hopefully you will not stopped and searched, and participate in elections, however only with that little green bar-coded tagging instrument, Without realizing it, we are conquered, and the instruments of our own society, are used to transfer our wealth to our captors and make the conquest complete.

People living in what are so called the rich nations on earth; seem always to be short of money. Wives are working in unprecedented numbers, husbands hope for overtime hours to earn more, or take part-time jobs evenings and weekends, children look for odd jobs for spending money, when hunger and cold enter the picture, acts such as The Child Protection Act go out the window as a means of necessity, the family debt climbs higher.

Psychologists say one of the biggest causes of family quarrels and breakups is "arguments over money." Which is true, if you stayed in an abode called a "Shack" which is call "Home", put together with whatever means at hand, corrugated iron, broken wood, etc.

Money is the blood of civilized society, the means of all commercial trade except simple barter.

It is the measure and the instrument by which one product is sold and another purchased. Remove money or even reduce the supply below that which is necessary to carry on current levels of trade. And the results are catastrophic. For an example, we need only look at America's Depression of the early 1930's.

America in the 1930's had all the infrastructure required to produce food and jobs for the population, the industrial capacity. Railroads communications, and a mail system, people were living well

In the 1930's Bankers were the only source of new money and credit, Bankers deliberately refused loans to the industry or were very reluctant to, existing loans still had to be paid to the bankers and money dried up, items were available for purchase, work waiting to be done, a Nation came to a standstill due to the lack of money, America went into a "depression"

The Bankers repossessed the houses, buildings, businesses Times were hard, money short, was all the people heard, does this sound familiar.

World War II ended the "depression." The same Bankers who in the early 30's had no loans for peacetime houses, food and clothing, suddenly had unlimited billions to lend for Army barracks, K-rations and uniforms! A nation that in 1934 couldn't produce food for sale suddenly could produce food and guns. Then send it for free to Russia and England to fight Germany and Japan! With the sudden increase in money, people were hired, farms sold their produce, factories went to two shifts, mines re-opened, and "**The Great Depression**" was over!

The truth is the lack of money (**caused by the Bankers**) brought on the depression, and adequate money ended it. The people were never told that simple truth.

Prior to 1913, America was a prosperous, powerful, and growing nation, at peace with its neighbors and the envy of the world. But, in December of 1913, Congress, with many members away for the Christmas holidays, passed what has since been known as the **Federal Reserve Act**. And since then other countries have followed suit

Omitting the burdensome details, it simply authorized the establishment of a privately owned national bank deceptively named The Federal Reserve Corporation. It had a Board of Directors (The Federal Reserve Board) to run it, and the United States was divided into 12 Federal Reserve "Districts." This simple, but terrible, law completely removed from Congress the right to "create" money or to have any control over its "creation," and gave that function to the Federal Reserve Corporation. In South Africa's case The South African Reserve Bank

This was done with appropriate fanfare and propaganda that this would "remove money from politics" and prevent the same scenario from hurting our citizens in the future. The people were not told then, and most still do not know today, that the Federal Reserve Corporation is a private corporation controlled by bankers and operated for the financial gain of the bankers over the people rather than for the good of the people. The word "Federal" was used only to deceive the people.

Since that day the small group of "privileged" people who lend us "our" money have accrued to themselves all of the profits of printing our money - and more, which, as their own personal property, they then lend to our government and our people at interest.

We shall start with the need for money.

The Government, having spent more than it has taken from its citizens in taxes, needs, for the sake of illustration, (Hypothetically) R1,000,000,000. Since it does not have the money, and Government has given away its authority to "create" it, the Government must go to the "creators" for the R1 billion. But, the Federal Reserve, a private corporation, doesn't just give its money away! The Bankers are willing to deliver R1, 000,000,000 in money or credit to the Government in exchange for the Government's agreement to pay it back- with interest!

So, Government authorizes the Treasury Department to print R1, 000,000,000 in Government Bonds, which are then delivered to the Federal Reserve Bankers. The Federal Reserve then pays the cost of printing the R1, 000,000,000 (about R1, 000) and makes the exchange. The Government then uses the money to pay its obligations.

What are the results of this fantastic scam? Well, R1 billion in Government bills are paid all right, but the Government has now indebted the citizens to the Bankers for R1 billion on which the taxpayers must pay interest!

Government is indebted to the Bankers forever R1, 000,000,000, on which the people pay over R100 billion a year just in interest alone with no hope of ever paying off the principal.

You think, "This is terrible!" Yes, it is, but we have shown only part of the sordid story. Under this evil system, those Governments have now become "assets" of the Banks in the Reserve System which they then use as "reserves" to "create" more "credit" to lend.

Current "reserve" requirements allow them to use that R1 billion in bonds to "create" as much as R15 billion in new "credit" to lend to Municipalities, to individuals and businesses. Added to the original R1 billion, they could have R16 billion of "created credit" out in loans paying them interest with their only cost being R1,000 for printing the original R1 billions!

In order for the people to have money to carry on trade and commerce they are forced to borrow the "created credit" of the Monopoly Bankers and pay them usury-interest!

In addition to the vast wealth drawn from the public through this almost unlimited usury, the Bankers who control the money at the top are able to approve or disapprove large loans to large and successful corporations to the extent that refusal of a loan will bring about a reduction in the price that that Corporation's stock sells for on the market.

After depressing the price, the Bankers' agents can buy large blocks of the stock, after which the sometimes multi-million dollar loan is approved, the stock rises, and is then sold for a profit. In this manner billions of Rands are made with which to buy more stock. This practice is so refined today that the Federal Reserve Board need only announce to the newspapers an increase or decrease in their "rediscount rate" to send stocks up and down as they wish.

The Bankers and their agents have purchased secret or open control of almost every large corporation or Parastatals. Using that control, they then force the corporations to borrow huge sums from their banks so that corporation earnings are siphoned off in the form of interest to

the banks. This leaves little as actual "profits" which otherwise would have been paid as dividends. The banks reap billions in interest from corporate loans. The result, the bankers get almost all of the company's profits, while individual stockholders are left holding the bag.

And these Banking Families obtained that debt against us for the cost of paper, ink, and bookkeeping! And a little bit of verbal cow dung.

The only way new "money" goes into circulation is when it is borrowed from Bankers. When the Government and people borrow large sums, we seem to prosper. However, the Bankers "create" only the amount Of the principal of each loan, never the extra amount needed to pay the interest.

Therefore, the new money never equals the new debt added. The amounts needed to pay the interest on loans is not "created," and therefore does not exist!

Under this kind of a system, where new debt always exceeds the new money no matter how much or how little is borrowed, the total debt increasingly outstrips the amount of money available to pay the debt. The people can never, ever get out of debt! You can see how this is done.

If R60,000 Is Borrowed, R255,931.20 Must Be Paid Back

When a citizen goes to a Banker to borrow R60, 000 to purchase a home or a farm, the Banker agent has the borrower agree to pay back the loan plus interest. At 14% interest (% For example purposes only) for 30 years, the Borrower must agree to pay R710.92 per month for a total of R255,931.20. The Banker then requires the citizen to assign to the Banker the right of ownership of the property if the Borrower does not make the required payments.."

However, and this is the fatal flaw in a usury system: the only new money created and put into circulation is the amount of the loan, R60,000. The money to pay the interest is not created, and therefore was not added to "money in circulation." Even so, this Borrower (and those who follow him in ownership of the property) must earn and take out of circulation R255,931, almost R200,000 more than he put in circulation when he borrowed the original R60,000! (By the way, it is this interest which cheats all families out of nicer homes. It is not that

they can't afford them; it is because the Banker's usury forces them to pay for 4 homes to get one!)

The Federal Reserve System is able to affect the level of interest rates through its monetary policy.

The Federal Reserve Bankers' "game" is also rigged, certainly not in your favour and it pays off, not in millions, but in billions! In recent years Bankers added real "cards" to their game. "Credit" cards are promoted, as a convenience and a great boon to trade. Actually, they are ingenious devices by which Bankers collect 2% to 5% of every retail sale from the seller and 18% interest from buyers.

Talk about a sting

It takes only a little imagination to see that if Government had been "creating," and spending or issuing into circulation the necessary increase in the money supply, there would be no national debt, and other debts would be practically non-existent. Since there would be no original cost of money except printing, and no continuing costs such as interest, Federal taxes would be almost nil. Money, once in circulation, would remain there and go on serving its purpose as a medium of exchange for generation after generation, and century after century, just as coins do now, with no payments to the Bankers whatever!

Our political parties have become its servants, the various departments of government, its spending agencies, and the Internal Revenue, its collection agency.

Some, we are told, are friends, some, we are told, are enemies. "Enemies" are built up through international manipulations, and used to frighten the people into going billions of Rands more into debt to the Bankers for military preparedness, foreign aid, welfare, etc... Citizens, deliberately confused by brainwashing propaganda, watch helplessly while our politicians give our food, goods, and money to Banker controlled alien governments under the guise of "better relations" and "easing tensions."

The profits from these massive debts have been used to erect a complete and almost hidden economic and political colossus over our nation. They keep telling us they are trying to do us "good," when in truth they work to bring harm and injury to our people. These despots

having been educated in the concept of knowing it is easier to control and rob an ill, poorly-educated and confused people than it is a healthy and intelligent population, so they deliberately prevent real cures for diseases, by denying they exist, they degrade the educational systems, and they stir up social and racial unrest.

Everything which debilitates the minds and bodies of the people is secretly encouraged, as it makes the people less able to oppose them or even to understand what is being done to them.

The key to their wealth and power over us is their ability to create "money" out of nothing and lend it to us at interest. Most of the owners of the largest banks in America and South Africa are of Eastern European ancestry and connected with the European banks. Has that warning come to fruition in America? As in South Africa, who is the major shareholder in Absa? Why, Barclays of course, and the major shareholder in Barclays is? Come-on have a guess.

A debt-free Society would mean mothers would not have to work. With mother at home, juvenile delinquency would decrease rapidly. The elimination of the usury and debt would be the equivalent of a 50% rise in the purchasing power of every worker. With this cancellation of all debts, the return to the people of all the property and wealth the parasitic Bankers and their quasi-legal agents have stolen by usury and fraud, and the ending of their theft every year from the people,

Is it possible that your grandchildren will own neither home nor car, but will live in "government-owned" apartments and ride to work in "government owned" buses (both paying usury to the Bankers), and be allowed to keep just enough of their earnings to buy a minimum of food and clothing while their Rulers wallow in luxury?

International financiers, who control most of the government of the nations and most sources of information, seem to have us completely within their grasp. They are afraid of only one thing: an awakened conservative citizenry, armed with God's Law and Imprecatory Prayer trusting in Almighty God for deliverance. May Jesus Christ both enlighten and have mercy on us

Central banks today are generally associated with fiat money.

(Similar to what David Copperfield does, create Illusions)

Fiat money is money that has **value only because of government regulation or law**. The term derives from the Latin *fiat*, meaning "let it be done"; as such money is established by government decree. Where fiat money is used as currency, the term **fiat currency** is used.

Fiat money originated in 11th century China, and its use became widespread during the Yuan and Ming dynasties. Today, all national currencies are fiat currencies, including the US dollar, the euro, and all other reserve currencies. This trend began with the Nixon Shock of 1971, which ended the backing by precious metal of the U.S. dollar.

Characteristics

The term fiat money has been defined variously as:

(I KNOW WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DEFINE IT AS)

- Any money declared by a government to be legal tender. (**Legal tender** is a medium of payment allowed by law or recognized by a legal system to be valid for meeting a financial obligation)
- State-issued money which is **neither legally convertible to any other thing, nor fixed in value in terms of any objective standard**
- **Money without intrinsic value**. (In finance, **intrinsic value** refers to the value of a security which is intrinsic to or contained in the security itself. It is also frequently called **fundamental value**. It is ordinarily calculated by summing the future income generated by the asset, and discounting it to the present value. Simply put, it is the **actual value of a security** as opposed to **the market or book value**)

While specie-backed representative money entails the legal requirement that the bank of issue redeem it in fixed weights of specie, **fiat money's value is unrelated to the value**

of any physical quantity. Even a coin containing valuable metal may be considered fiat currency if its face value is higher than its market value as metal.

A feature of all fiat money is its acceptability to the government for payment of taxes and charges.

Fiat money is not essential for large countries, nor is it always used.

An economy may function on banknotes issued by commercial banks, which are not legal tender, and hence not fiat money.

In the United Kingdom, for example, seven retail banks issue banknotes.

This was also the situation in the United States during periods prior to 1862, before the first United States Notes were created and declared by the government to be legal tender.

History

Historically, money originated as commodity money, based on physical commodities such as cowrie shells, copper, gold, or silver, but **fiat money is based solely on faith in the government issuing the money.**

Monetary economics

In monetary economics, **fiat money is an intrinsically useless good**, used as a means of payment. In some micro-founded models of money, fiat money arises endogenously as it makes feasible trades that would not otherwise be possible, either because agents may not anonymously write IOUs, or because of physical constraints

Now can you see where I am going, in its own interpretation: Fiat money is an intrinsically **useless good** used as a means of payment?

(BUT FOR WHO_)

Now the banger

Finally it is starting to come together

OWNERSHIP OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE

Quotes from INFLUENTIAL people

I have unwittingly ruined my country. President Wilson, upon passage of the Federal Reserve Act, 1913

(And put the whole world's butt in a sling)

When the people fear the government, there is Tyranny; when the government fears the people, there is Liberty. Thomas Jefferson

(Right on)

If the people were to ever find out what we have done, we would be chased down the streets and lynched. George H. W. Bush told a White House reporter

(They would never be able to catch you, too much brown stuff, however if they did you may be right)

If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their money, first by inflation and then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around them (around the banks), will deprive the people of their property, until their children will wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered. Thomas Jefferson

(As we all know, hindsight is 20/20 vision)

John Swinton's speech regarding the 'independent press'. He was chief of staff of the New York Times and gave this speech at a banquet in his honor. The

following is a sample from the speech: '*. . . It is the business of the journalist to destroy the truth, to lie outright, to pervert, to vilify, to fawn at the feet of Mammon, to sell his country and race for his daily bread. You know it and I know it; what is this folly of toasting an independent press. We are the tools and vassals of rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks; they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our lives, our possibilities are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes*'.

(Well they pay you enough, don't they?)

CONGRESSMAN LOUIS T. McFadden and former Chairman of the Committee on Banking and Currency.

We have in this Country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks. . . . This evil institution has impoverished and ruined the people of the United States. . . . Some people think the Federal Reserve Banks are United States Government institutions. They are private credit monopolies which prey upon the people of the United States for the Benefit of themselves and their foreign customers. ..."

"The Federal Reserve (Banks) are one of the most corrupt institutions, the world has ever seen. There is not a man, within the sound of my voice, who does not know that this Nation is run by the International Bankers".

"Mr. Chairman, we have in this country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks, hereinafter called the Fed. The Fed has cheated the Government of the United States and the people of the United States out of enough money to pay the Nation's debt.... The wealth of these United States and the working capital have been taken away from them and has either been locked in the vaults of certain banks and the great corporations or exported to foreign countries for the benefit of foreign customers of these banks and corporations. So far as the people of the United States are concerned, the cupboard is bare."

"When the Federal Reserve Act was passed, the people of these United States did not perceive that a world banking system was being set up here. A super-state controlled by international bankers and industrialists...acting together to enslave the world...Every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its powers but the truth is--the Fed has usurped the government."

(Someone wet his battery, has he been running with blinkers and a noseband whilst taking up his position)

SIR JOSIAH STAMP, (President of the Bank of England in the 1920's, the second richest man in Britain)

"Banking was conceived in iniquity, and was born in sin. The Bankers own the Earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create deposits, and with the flick of the pen, they will create enough deposits, to buy it back again. However, take it away from them, and all the great fortunes like mine will disappear, and they ought to disappear, for this would be a happier and better world to live in. But if you wish to remain the slaves of Bankers, and pay the cost of your own slavery, let them continue to create deposits."

(Talk about peeing Eau de Cologne)

Feudal Tenancy

If you think you are a landowner in South Africa, take a close look at the warranty deed or fee title to your land. You will almost always find the words "**tenant**" or "**tenancy**." The title or deed document establishing your right as a tenant not that of a landowner has been prepared for transfer by a licensed BAR Attorney, just as it was carried out within the original English feudal system we presumed we had escaped from in 1776.

A **human being** is the tenant to a feudal superior. A **feudal tenant** is a legal **person** who pays rent or services of some sort for the use and occupation of another's land. The land has been conveyed to the tenant's use, but the actual *ownership* remains with the superior. If a common **person** does not own what he thought was his land (he's legally defined as a "**feudal tenant**," *not* the superior owner), then a superior **person** owns the land and the **feudal tenant - person** pays him to occupy the land.

This is the hidden Feudal Law in South Africa. When a **person** (a.k.a. human being, corporation, natural person, Partnership, association, organization, etc.) pays taxes to the tax assessor of the city government (also a **person**), it is a payment to the superior land owner for the right to be a tenant and to occupy the land belonging to

the superior. If this were not so, then how could a local government, sell the house and land of a **person** for not rendering his services (taxes)?

We used to think that there was no possible way feudal law could be exercised, but the facts have proven otherwise. It's no wonder they hid the definition of a **human being** behind the definition of a **man**. The next time you enter into an agreement or contract with another **person** (legal entity), look for the keywords **person**, **individual**, and **natural person** describing who you are.

Are you the entity the other **person** claims you are? When you "appear" before their jurisdiction and courts, you have agreed that you are a legal **person** unless you show them otherwise. You will have to deny that you are the **person** and state who you *really* are. Is the flesh and blood standing there in that courtroom a **person** by their legal definition?

Most People, if they know anything at all about the Federal Reserve or Reserve Bank, believe it is an agency of the Government. This article charts the true nature of the "National Bank."

Now, I am not going to go into the formation of the Reserve bank as the History is too long and laborious,


Look up **Jekyll Island Conference** on the Internet it is all there


What this does show is the connection between the RESERVE BANKS and all being privately owned

Chart 1

Chart 1 reveals the linear connection between the **Rothschilds** and the **Bank of England**, and the London banking houses which ultimately control the Federal Reserve Banks through their stockholdings of bank stock and their subsidiary firms in New York. The two principal Rothschild representatives in New York, **J. P. Morgan Co.**, and **Kuhn, Loeb & Co.** were the firms which set up the Jekyll Island Conference See www.whale.to/b/m_ch_1.html at which the Federal Reserve Act was drafted, who directed the subsequent successful campaign to have the plan enacted into law by Congress, and who purchased the controlling amounts of stock in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York in 1914.

These firms had their principal officers appointed to the Federal Reserve Board of Governors and the Federal Advisory Council in 1914. In 1914 a few families (blood or business related) owning controlling stock in existing banks (such as in New York City) caused those banks to purchase controlling shares in the Federal Reserve regional banks. Examination of the charts and text in the House Banking Committee Staff Report of August, 1976 and the current stockholders list of the 12 regional Federal Reserve Banks show this same family control.





|
Shareholders - First National Bank of N.Y.

J.P. Morgan
George F. Baker
George F. Baker Jr.
Edith Brevoort Baker
US Congress - 1946-64
|
|
|
|
|

Shareholders - Hanover National Bank N.Y.

James Stillman
William Rockefeller
|
|
|
|

Shareholders - Chase National Bank N.Y.

George F. Baker

Chart 2

Source: ** Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence ** - - Published 1983

The **J. Henry Schroder Banking Company chart** encompasses the entire history of the twentieth century, embracing as it does the program (Belgium Relief Commission) which provisioned Germany from 1915-1918 and dissuaded Germany from seeking peace in 1916; financing Hitler in 1933 so as to make a Second World War possible; backing the Presidential campaign of Herbert Hoover ; and even at the present time, having two of its major executives of its subsidiary firm, Bechtel Corporation serving as Secretary of Defense and Secretary of State in the Reagan Administration.

The head of the Bank of England since 1973, **Sir Gordon Richardson**, Governor of the Bank of England (controlled by the House of Rothschild) was chairman of **J. Henry Schroder Wagg and Company of London** from 1963-72, and director of **J. Henry Schroder, New York** and **Schroder Banking Corporation, New York**, as well as Lloyd's Bank of London, and Rolls Royce. He maintains a residence on Sutton Place in New York City, and as head of "The London Connection," can be said to be the single most influential banker in the world.

J. Henry Schroder

|
|

Baron Rudolph Von Schroder
Hamburg - 1858 - 1934

Baron Bruno Von Schroder
Hamburg - 1867 - 1940

F. C. Tiarks
1874-1952

marr. Emma Franziska
(Hamburg)

J. Henry Schroder 1902
Dir. Bank of England
Dir. Anglo-Iranian
Oil Company

Helmut B. Schroder

J. Henry Schroder Banking Company N.Y.

J. Henry Schroder Trust Company N.Y.

Allen Dulles

Dulles

Sullivan & Cromwell

Cromwell

Director - CIA

State

Foundation

Prentiss Gray

Belgian Relief Comm.

Chief Marine Transportation
US Food Administration WW I
Manati Sugar Co. American &
British Continental Corp.

M. E. Rionda

Pres. Cuba Cane Sugar Co.
Manati Sugar Co. many other
sugar companies.

G. A. Zabriskie

Chmn U.S. Sugar Equalization
Board 1917-18; Pres Empire
Kai

John Foster

Sullivan &

U. S. Secretary of

Rockefeller

Lord Airlie

Chairman; Virginia Fortune
Ryan daughter of Otto Kahn
of Kuhn, Loeb Co.

Emile Francoui

Belgian Relief Comm.

Biscuit Co., Columbia Baking Tientsin C		Ping Coal Mines,
o. , Southern Baking Co.		
	Railroad, Congo Copper, La	
Belgique		Banque Nationale de
	Suite 2000 42 Broadway N. Y	
	-----	-----
Edgar Richard Hoover	Julius H. Barnes	Herbert
-----	-----	-----
Belgium Relief Comm Relief Com Amer Relief Comm U.S. Food Admin 1924-28 1918-24, Hazeltine Corp. Mines President John Lowery Simpson -----	Belgium Relief Comm Pres Grain Corp. U.S. Food Admin 1917-18, C.B Pitney Bowes Corp, Manati Sugar Corp.	Chmn Belgium U.S. Food Admin Sec of Commerce Kaiping Coal Congo Copper, U.S. 1928-32
Sacramento, Calif Belgium Relief Comm. U. S. Food Administration Prentiss Gray Co. J. Henry Schroder Trust, Schroder-Rockefeller, Chmn Stein Fin Comm, Bechtel International bank Co. Bechtel Co. (Casper Weinberger all Sec of Defense, George P. Schultz Bank Sec of State (Reagan Admin). Settlements, Leader, Himmler's Fund), Schroder-Rockefeller & Co. , N.Y. -----		Baron Kurt Von Schroder ----- Schroder Banking Corp. J.H. Bankhaus (Hitler's personal account) served on board of German subsidiaries of ITT . for International SS Senior Group Circle of Friends (Nazi Deutsche Reichsbank, president
Avery Rockefeller, J. Henry Schroder Banking Corp., Bechtel Co., Bechtel		

International Co. , Canadian Bechtel
Company.

|
|
|
|
Gordon Richardson

Governor, Bank of England
1973-PRESENT C.B. of J. Henry Schroder N.Y.
Schroder Banking Co., New York, Lloyds Bank
Rolls Royce

Chart 3

Source: ** Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence ** - - Published 1976

The David Rockefeller chart shows the link between the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Standard Oil of Indiana, General Motors and Allied Chemical Corporation (Eugene Meyer family) and Equitable Life (J. P. Morgan).

DAVID ROCKEFELLER

Chairman of the Board
Chase Manhattan Corp

|
|
|
|
Chase Manhattan Corp. |
Officer & Director Interlocks|-----

-----|-----
Private Investment Co. for America

|
Firestone Tire & Rubber Company

|
Orion Multinational Services Ltd.
Associates

|
ASARCO. Inc

|
Southern Peru Copper Corp.

|
Industrial Minerva Mexico S.A.

|
Continental Corp.

|
Honeywell Inc.

|
Northwest Airlines, Inc.

|
Allied Chemicals Corp.

|
General Motors

|
Rockefeller Family &

|
Chrysler Corp.

|
Intl' Basic Economy Corp.

|
R.H. Macy & Co.

|
Selected Risk Investments S.A.

|
Omega Fund, Inc.

|
Squibb Corporation
|




Chart 4

** Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence ** - - Published 1976

This chart shows the interlocks between the Federal Reserve Bank of New York J. Henry Schroder Banking Corp., J. Henry Schroder Trust Co., Rockefeller Center, Inc., Equitable Life Assurance Society (J.P. Morgan), and the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

Alan Pifer, President
Carnegie Corporation





Chart 5

Source: ** Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence ** - - Published 1976

This chart shows the link between the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Brown Brothers Harriman, Sun Life Assurance Co. (N.M. Rothschild and Sons), and the Rockefeller Foundation.

Maurice F. Granville



N	Brown Brothers Harriman & Co.	National Steel Corporation
D		
O	Brown Harriman & Intl' Banks Ltd.	Massey-Ferguson Ltd.
N		
	American Express	Mutual Life Insurance Co.
	N. American Express Intl' Banking Corp.	Mass Mutual Income
	Investors Inc.	
M.		
	Anaconda	United Services Life Ins.
Co.		
R		
O	Rockefeller Foundation	Fairchild Industries
T		
H	Owens-Corning Fiberglas	Blount, Inc.
S		
C	National City Bank (Cleveland)	William Wrigley Jr. Co
H		
I	Sun Life Assurance Co.	National Blvd. Bank of
Chicago		
L		
D	General Reinsurance	Lykes Youngstown
Corporation		
	General Electric (NBC)	Inmount Corporation

** Source: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence. Staff Report, Committee on Banking, Currency and Housing, House of Representatives, 94th Congress, 2nd Session, August 1976.

Following taken from
www.exulanten.com/bankers.html -

The Federal Reserve System, which began operations in 1914, was the vehicle which in effect forced the American people, without them even knowing it, to lend the Allies twenty-five billion dollars in loans which went unpaid, although the interest on the loans was indeed paid...to New York bankers. The cartel of the Rothschilds and the Bank of England and other London banking houses which ultimately controlled the Federal Reserve Banks through their controlling amounts of bank stock (along with that of their subsidiary firms in New York, J.P. Morgan Co. and Kuhn, Loeb & Co., etc.) directed the successful campaign to have the plan enacted into law by Congress.

These very firms had their principal officers appointed to the Federal Reserve Board of Governors and the Federal Advisory Council in 1914.

The banking and business elites salivating for war included J. Henry Schroder Banking Company, the Rockefellers, the Eugene Meyer family, J.P. Morgan, Alex Brown & Sons, Kuhn Loeb & Co., the Rothschilds, the Warburgs, the Baruch and Guggenheim families and a few others who weaved a tightly connected web of power, money, arms and influence for their own financial gains.

Their mutual influence on world affairs often crossed as they financed all sides for a continual, profit rendering conflict.

J. P. Morgan, Jr., one of the signatories to the establishment of the Federal Reserve in 1913, played a prominent role in the financial aspects of war mongering. It was he who made the first loan of \$12,000,000 to Russia, and in 1915, a loan of \$50,000,000 to the French Government.

All of the munitions purchases in the United States by the British were made through one of his firms, and he organized a syndicate of about 2,200 banks and floated a loan of \$500,000,000 to the Allies. J.P. Morgan also received the proceeds of the First Liberty Loan to pay off \$400,000,000 which he in turn advanced to Great Britain at the outbreak of war. By 1917, the Morgans and Kuhn, Loeb Company had floated a billion and a half dollars in loans to the Allies.

The bankers also financed a slew of pro-war (disingenuously named "peace") organizations which prodded US citizens to become involved in the War. The "Commission for Relief in Belgium" made up grisly atrocity stories against the Germans, while a Carnegie organization called the "League to Enforce Peace" (later, the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace) agitated in Washington for US entry into war.

On October 13, 1917, Woodrow Wilson stated: "It is manifestly imperative that there should be a complete mobilization of the banking reserves of the United States. The burden and the privilege (of the Allied loans) must be shared by every banking institution in the country. I believe that cooperation on the part of the banks is a patriotic duty at this time, and that membership in the Federal Reserve System is a distinct and significant evidence of patriotism." Sometimes, the bankers financed both sides.

The Rothschilds' agents, the Warburg banking house, were financing the Kaiser. Paul Warburg, a naturalized citizen from Germany who had been decorated by the Kaiser in 1912, was vice chairman of the Federal Reserve Board. He had also handled large sums furnished by Germany for Lenin and

Trotsky while his brother Max (who was Kaiser Wilhelm's personal banker) was the leader of the German espionage system!

It was this brother, Max, who authorized Lenin's train to pass through the lines and execute the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia. Jacob Schiff, like the Warburgs, also had two brothers in Germany during the war, Philip and Ludwig, who also were active as bankers to the German Government. Kuhn, Loeb & Co. represented the Rothschild interests in the US, and along with the Harrimans, the Goulds and the Rockefellers became the dominant powers in the railroad and America financial world while they war-mongered to fatten themselves even more.

The first available appointment on the Supreme Court of the United States which Woodrow Wilson filled was given to Kuhn-Loeb lawyer, Louis Brandeis who had been selected by Jacob Schiff to carry on war agitation.

Through marriage, the Kuhn Loeb Company managed to twine itself throughout the U.S. Food Administration, the British Secret Service and the Wilson White House. And on and on and on it went, like a ball of twine, tangling and tying the bankers, their progeny and their friends together for war and profits.

The financial aspect of the conflict which became known as World War One is too vast to relate here with the respect the subject deserves, but let it suffice to point out that World War One elevated approximately 21,000 US investors into the brackets of millionaires and billionaires.

The Rockefellers alone, who displayed great eagerness for the US to enter World War One on the British side, made in excess of \$200,000,000 from that conflict, and in just one afternoon during the war, Bernard Baruch, Wilson's Czar of American Industry and part of the commission that handled all purchasing for the Allies during the war, made a personal profit of \$750,000.00.

That "patriotism" served the bankers and their cronies well, although it did little for the people of America who sacrificed their sons, fathers, brothers and husbands to a bloody, needless war.

The Rothschilds meanwhile bought the German news agency, Wolff, to further control the flow of information to the German people and what the rest of the world would hear from inside Germany.

One of the leading executives of Wolff was none other than Max Warburg! The Rothschilds would later buy an interest in the Havas news agency in France and Reuters in London.

The tentacles of the banking families reached deep into the power elites: Dr. von Bethmann Hollweg, was the son of Moritz Bethmann from the Frankfurt banking family of Frankfurt, a cousin of the Rothschilds. Kuhn, Loeb & Co. represented the Rothschild interests in the US, and along with the Harrimans, the Goulds and the Rockefellers became the dominant powers in the railroad and America financial world while they war-mongered to fatten themselves even more.

Notable current and former employees

(Excluding many notable members of the [Rothschild family](#))

Business

- [René-Pierre Azria](#) - Director of [Jarden Corporation](#); Managing Director of [Blackstone Indosuez](#)
- [Dominic Barton](#) - Worldwide Managing Director of [McKinsey & Company](#)
- [Franco Bernabè](#) - CEO of [Telecom Italia](#); Director of [PetroChina](#)
- [Michel de Carvalho](#) - Vice-Chairman of Investment Banking of [Citigroup](#); Director of [Heineken International](#)
- [José María Castellano](#) - CEO of [Inditex Group](#)
- [Sir John Collins](#) - CEO of [Shell UK](#); Chairman of [National Power](#)
- [Alfonso Cortina](#) - Chairman and CEO of [Repsol](#)
- [Douglas Daft](#) - Chairman and CEO of [The Coca-Cola Company](#); Director of [The McGraw-Hill Companies](#)
- [Russell Edey](#) - Director of [Old Mutual](#); Chairman of [AngloGold Ashanti](#)

Even our very own in here

- [Dudley Eustace](#) - Chairman of [The Nielsen Company](#), Vice-Chairman of [Royal Philips Electronics](#)

- [Pehr G. Gyllenhammar](#) - Chairman of [Aviva](#); Founder of [European Round Table of Industrialists](#)
- [Jay Hambro](#) - CIO of [Petrovlovsk]; CEO of [Aricom](#)
- [Sir Graham Hearne](#) - Deputy Chairman of [Gallaher Group](#); Chairman and CEO of [Enterprise Oil](#)
- [Henry Keswick](#) - Chairman of [Jardine Matheson Holdings](#); Director of [Mandarin Oriental](#)
- [James Lawrence](#) - Chairman of [L.E.K. Consulting](#); CFO of [Unilever](#)
- [Lord Leach of Fairford](#) - Director of [Jardine Matheson Holdings](#); Chairman of [Open Europe](#)
- [Sir Carl Meyer](#) - Deputy Chairman of [De Beers](#); Governor of the [National Bank of Egypt](#)
- [Baron Moser](#) - Chairman of [British Museum](#); Chairman [Economist Intelligence Unit](#)
- [Paul Myners](#) - Chairman of [Guardian Media Group](#); Chairman of [Marks & Spencer](#)
- [Robert S. Pirie](#) - Senior Managing Director of [Bear Stearns & Co.](#)
- [Gerald Rosenfeld](#) - Head of Investment Banking of [Lazard](#)
- [Wilbur Ross](#) - Famous investor and billionaire
- [Trevor C. Rowe](#) - Director of the [Australian Stock Exchange](#); Chairman of [United Group](#); Chairman of Queensland Investment Corporation (QIC)
- [Anthony Salz](#) - Senior Partner of [Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer](#); Acting Chairman of [Board of Governors of the BBC](#)
- [Peter Smith](#) - Chairman of [Coopers & Lybrand](#); Chairman of [Savills](#)
- [Raymond W. Smith](#) - CEO of [Bell Atlantic](#); Chairman of [Verizon](#)
- [Baron Vallance of Tummel](#) - Vice-Chairman of [Royal Bank of Scotland](#); Chairman of [British Telecom](#)

Politics and public service

- [Baron George](#) - [Governor of the Bank of England](#) (1993–2003)
- [Thierry Breton](#) - [French Minister of Economy, Finance and Industry](#) (2005–2007)
- [Gerhard Schröder](#) - [Chancellor of Germany](#) (1998–2005)
- [Liam Byrne](#) - [Minister of State at the Home Office](#) (2006–present); [Minister of State at Her Majesty's Treasury](#) (2008–present)
- [John Kingman](#) - Chief Executive of [UK Financial Investments Limited](#)
- [Baron Lamont of Lerwick](#) - [Member of the British Parliament](#) (1972–1997); [Chancellor of the Exchequer](#) (1990–93)
- [Sir Edwin Leather](#) - [Member of the British Parliament](#) (1950–1964); [Governor of Bermuda](#) (1973–1977)
- [Oliver Letwin](#) - Chairman of the [Conservative Research Department](#); [Minister of State for Policy](#)
- [René Mayer](#) - [President of France](#) (1953)
- [Baron Neuberger of Abbotsbury](#) - [Lord of Appeal in Ordinary](#) (2007–present)
- [Georges Pompidou](#) - [President of France](#) (1969–1974)
- [John Redwood](#) - [Member of the British Parliament](#) (1987–present)

- [Felix Rohatyn](#) - [United States Ambassador to France](#) (1997–2000)
- [Baron Wakeham](#) - [Leader of the House of Lords](#) (1992–1994); [Leader of the House of Commons](#) (1987–1989)

Armed forces

- [General Baron Guthrie of Craigiebank](#) - [Chief of the General Staff](#) (1994–1997); [Chief of the Defence Staff](#) (1997–2001)

Right, I can see who makes the rules, OK

Now that I see where Economic control comes from, the second issue how does man, born of flesh and blood, fit into the picture?

Check this scenario below, now who would have thought

Is it coincidental?

BP OIL SPILL

Tony Hayward BP's Chief Executive **cashed in about a third of his holding in the company one month before a well on the Deepwater Horizon rig burst**, causing an environmental disaster.

Mr. Hayward, whose pay package is £4 million a year, then paid off the mortgage on his family's mansion in Kent, which is estimated to be valued at more than £1.2 million.

There is no suggestion that he acted improperly or had prior knowledge that the company was to face the biggest setback in its history.

His decision, however, means he avoided losing more than £423,000 when BP's share price plunged after the oil spill began six weeks ago.

Since he disposed of 223,288 shares on March 17, the company's share price has fallen by 30 per cent. About £40 billion has been wiped off its total value. The fall has caused

pain not just for BP shareholders, but also for millions of company pension funds and small investors who have money held in tracker funds.

“Goldman Sachs wasn’t alone either in its astute “foreknowledge” of the collapse of BP’s stock value due to the Gulf disaster as BP’s own chief executive, Tony Hayward, sold about one-third of his shares weeks before this catastrophe began unfolding too.

But according to this FSB report the largest seller of BP stock in the weeks before this disaster occurred was the American investment company known as Vanguard who through two of their financial arms (Vanguard Windsor II Investor and Vanguard Windsor Investor) unloaded over 1.5 million shares of BP stock saving their investors hundreds of millions of dollars, chief among them [President Obama](#).

For though little known by the American people, their President Obama holds all of his wealth in just two Vanguard funds, Vanguard 500 Index Fund where he has 3 accounts and the Vanguard FTSE Social Index Fund where he holds another 3 accounts, all six of which the FSB estimates will earn Obama nearly \$8.5 million a year and which over 10 years will equal the staggering sum of \$85 million.

The FSB further estimates in this report that through Obama’s 3 accounts in the Vanguard 500 Index Fund he stands to make another \$100 million over the next 10 years as their largest stock holding is in the energy giant Exxon Mobil they believe will eventually acquire BP and all of their assets for what will be essentially a “rock bottom” price and which very predictably BP has hired Goldman Sachs to advise them on.

Important to note is that none of this wealth Obama, Goldman Sachs, and other American elites is acquiring would be possible without this disaster, all of whom, as the evidence shows, “somehow” knew what was going to happen before it actually did, including the US energy giant Halliburton who 2 weeks prior to this disaster just happened to purchase the World’s largest oil disaster service company [Boots & Coots](#)

SOUTH AFRICAN RESERVE BANK

The **South African Reserve Bank** is the central bank of South Africa. It was established in 1921 after Parliament passed an act, the "Currency and Bank Act of 10 August 1920," as a direct result of the abnormal monetary and financial conditions which World War I had brought. The SARB was only the fourth central bank established outside the United Kingdom and Europe, the others being the USA, Japan and Java. The SARB is also **privately owned**

Strangely enough

The SARB controls credit and regulates the amount of money in circulation in the following ways:

- Increasing the discount rate in times of excessive spending;
- Lowering the discount rate in times of slack business and scarce money;
- Opening market transactions in times of excessive spending and rising prices;
- Opening market transactions in times of recession, when business is slack;
- Increasing cash reserves;
- Decreasing cash reserves;
- Disciplinary measures;
- Stabilising rates of exchange;
- Credit rationing;
- Bank of issue (The right to create money);
- Acting as the government's banker;
- Acting as a custodian of banks' cash reserves;
- Custodian of gold;
- Bank of rediscount; and
- Clearing bank.
- The Bank immediately provided services as 'banker to the banks', and started functioning as custodian of the gold and foreign exchange reserves of the country

If anyone can obtain the COMPLETE listing of the SHAREHOLDERS of SARB Please let me know, as my searches have proved fruitless, remember Complete list.

International Monetary Fund

Taken from IMF Website / South Africa

How the IMF operates

<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/sd/index.asp?decision=EBM/96/36>

As one of the main objectives of establishing the IMF was to bring the stability in exchange rates by stopping the competitive devaluation of currencies of the member nations, so that they could get foreign exchange easily, to get this objective done IMF accepted gold as a medium to determining the exchange rate. The currency value of every country was fixed in gold and American dollar. At that time American dollar was the most powerful international currency so it 'was fixed as IMF's 'Money of Account'. The value of one unit of dollar was equal to 0.888671 gm of gold.

But due to the regular fluctuation's in the value of dollar and irregular supply, IMF gave up dollar and introduced SDR.

SDR is like a fiat money (as explained on the meaning above on fiat money) behind which there is no reserve but it is the medium of international payments. It is only the 'Money of Account'. It is intangible money which is written only in account and is used as gold in international payments. Therefore, it is also called 'Paper Gold'. It is an easy and important source of increasing the international liquidity.

At present, the value of currency of every country is fixed in SDR. Mutual Exchange rates of different currencies can be increased or decreased according to the demand and supply in the market and thus, the par value system started by IMF has been ended by the floating rate system.

Below, conditions of employees of the SARB with regards Analytical Account reporting to the IMF

South Africa

Analytical accounts of the banking sector
(Analytical accounts of the banking sector)

Last Certified: [Jan 28, 2011](#)

Last Posted: [Jan 27, 2011](#)

Last Updated: [Jan 27, 2011](#)

0.1.3 Confidentiality of individual reporters' data

Staff members sign a contract and code of conduct when they join the South African Reserve Bank.

Loyalty towards the mission and objectives of the Bank is upheld by the Research Department and members have been **sworn to confidentiality** at all times.

Why! What's going on that I should know about?

All data and time series systems are password protected.

(That I can understand, heaven forbid the IMF gets hold of it, OMG it is for the IMF)

Data remains confidential until fully processed for publishing on the release date and thereafter it is published and distributed at an aggregate as well as at an individual bank level. The balance sheet (from January 2008 the BA900, before that the DI900 return) is available per individual bank for public inspection in accordance with bank regulations.

The processing and editing of any individual bank's data is restricted to only those staff members who work with the data.

Prior to new releases the data is password protected to prevent unauthorized access?

(Now we wouldn't want everyone knowing our business would we, only the IMF)

<p>Identification of internal government access to data before release</p>	<p>1.2.2 Internal governmental access to statistics prior to release</p> <p><u>South African Reserve Bank</u></p> <p>No officials outside the SARB have access to the data before their general release to the public.</p> <p><u>Analytical accounts of the banking sector</u></p> <p>No government organization receives any information prior to release.</p>
<p>Identification of ministerial commentary on the occasion of statistical releases</p>	<p>1.2.3 Attribution of statistical products</p> <p><u>South African Reserve Bank</u></p> <p>No ministerial commentary is attached to the release of the data.</p> <p><u>Analytical accounts of the banking sector</u></p> <p>The statistics are only processed internally and is clearly marked as the product of the South African Reserve Bank when released to the public</p>

0.1.3 Confidentiality of individual reporters' data

In terms of clause 4 of the regulations published under Government Notices 702 and 703 of 20 April 1956, all employees of the South African Reserve Bank involved in this survey are sworn to **secrecy**. Over and above this provision, assurance is given that no action of any kind will be undertaken under the Exchange Control Regulations

against any organization or person on the grounds of information furnished in this survey.

(Knowing this, I can sleep better at night)

Financial Soundness Indicators (FSIs) and the IMF

**Now remember these Financial Soundness
“Whatever” were launched in 1999
Since then the brown stuff has hit the fan**

Last Updated: July 31, 2009

To access FSI data and metadata reported on a regular basis by member countries go to
[Regular FSI Reporting \(Data & Metadata\)](#) **NEW**

The development of indicators of financial soundness responds to the need for better tools to **assess the strengths and vulnerabilities of the financial system**. Following an initial consultative meeting of experts and a survey of member countries, the [IMF Executive Board endorsed](#) a list of core and encouraged Financial Soundness Indicators (FSIs) in June 2001. The final list of core and encouraged indicators is provided below. To encourage compilation of these indicators by member countries, the Board supported the preparation of a compilation guide on financial soundness indicators by **IMF staff**.

On June 2, 2003, the [IMF Executive Board discussed progress on the work program for FSIs](#) and, to support country efforts to compile FSIs, it endorsed the conduct of a coordinated compilation exercise for FSIs **by IMF staff in collaboration with other international agencies**. In that meeting, the IMF Executive Board welcomed the ongoing work on the development, compilation, and use of FSIs, and the broad support provided by member countries, international organizations, and other standard-setting bodies in this exercise. At that time, Directors commended the completion of the draft [Financial Soundness Indicators: Compilation Guide \(Guide\)](#), which they considered a milestone in establishing a standard reference on the concepts and definitions.

With a view to ensuring that the agreed list of FSIs continues to reflect the changing financial environment, the capacity of countries to compile FSIs, **and the evolving priorities of IMF surveillance**, Directors suggested that the list of FSIs be kept under review. In this context, a consultation with the group of experts on FSIs took place at IMF headquarters during October 29-30, 2003, which reviewed the specific issues that had arisen during the public comment period on the *Guide* and the outreach seminars, and the modifications to the list of indicators suggested by the Directors during their June 2003 review of the *Guide*. A summary of the meeting of FSI experts in 2003 was provided in the November 17, 2003 issue of the IMF Survey, available at <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/survey/2003/111703.pdf>. The conclusions of that meeting were reflected in the *Guide* and the final list of FSIs provided below.

The IMF's work on FSIs is complementary to other initiatives undertaken to **strengthen financial systems, including the joint**

IMF-World Bank Financial Sector Assessment Program launched in **1999**.

ALL THIS FROM THE "SO CALLED EXPERTS" TO ENSURE A STABLE WORLD ORDER, so why the collapse?

Pull the other leg

It's all one happy family

I now come back to the words of Edward **Mandell House** (July 26, 1858 – March 28, 1938 to President Wilson

(And everyone lived happily thereafter; it's playing out like a Monty Python movie)

Very soon every American will be required to register their biological property in a national system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a charge back for our fiat paper currency.

Every American will be forced to register or suffer being able to work and earn a living. They will be our chattels (property) and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading (Birth Certificate) to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, secured by their pledges.

They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two should figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical

way to fund government, by floating liens and debts to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges.

*This will inevitably reap us huge profit beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor to this fraud, which we will call 'Social Insurance'. Without realizing it, every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly. **The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and we will employ the high office (presidency) of our dummy corporation (USA) to foment this plot against America***

Can this be possible? Am I living in a dream or being manipulated?

We all, I must presume to know the meaning of the word manipulation, which can be done with ones consent, or not knowingly give ones consent

1.
 - a. The act or practice of manipulating.
 - b. The state of being manipulated.
2. Shrewd or devious management, especially for one's own advantage

Manipulation

(See also **DOMINATION, VICTIMIZATION.**)

Backstairs influence, Indirect control, as of an advisor; power, to affect the opinions, of one in charge. *Backstairs* refers to the private stairways of palaces, those used by unofficial visitors who had true access to or intimate acquaintance with the inner circles of government. Connotations of deceit and underhandedness were natural extensions of the “indirect” aspect of the backstairs. Examples of this usage are cited as early as the beginning of the 17th century. Today *backstairs influence* has come to mean the indirect influence or sway that given individuals or groups are able to exert over persons in power.

HOW TO CURB THE POPULATION

BELOW TAKEN FROM

CONFIDENTIAL **COBDEN CLUBS**. Secretariat for World Order. 814-631-9959.
September 20, 1991. INITIATIVE FOR ECO-92 EARTH CHARTER ...
www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/.../cobden_club.htm

*CONFIDENTIAL
COBDEN CLUBS
Secretariat for World Order
814-63X-XXXX*

September 20, 1991

INITIATIVE FOR ECO-92 EARTH CHARTER

The worrying factors are highlighted in RED

1. THE PRESSING NEED

a. The time is pressing. The Club of Rome was founded in 1968, Limits to Growth was written in 1971, Global 2000 was written in 1979, but insufficient progress has been made in population reduction.

b. Given global instabilities, including those in the former Soviet bloc, the need for firm control of world technology, weaponry, and natural resources, is now

absolutely mandatory. The immediate reduction of world population, according to the mid-1970's recommendation of the Draper Fund, must be immediately affected.

c. The present vast overpopulation, now far beyond the world carrying capacity, cannot be answered by future reductions in the birth rate due to contraception, sterilization and abortion, but must be met in the present by the reduction of numbers presently existing. **This must be done by whatever means necessary.** (

d. The issue is falsely debated between a political and a cultural approach to population and resources, when in fact, faced with stubborn obstruction and day-to-day political expediency which make most of the leaders of the most populous poor countries unreliable, **the issue is compulsory cooperation.**

e. Compulsory cooperation is not debatable with 166 nations, most of whose leaders are irresolute, conditioned by localist "cultures," and lacking appropriate notions of the New World Order. **Debate means delay and forfeiture of our goals and purpose.**

CONFIDENTIAL

f. The U.N. action against Iraq proves conclusively that resolute action on our part can sway other leaders to go along with the necessary program. The Iraq action proves that the aura of power can be projected and sustained and that the wave of history is sweeping forward.

2. PERILS TO BE HEADED

There is a two-fold opposition which must be eliminated by quick action. There are rumblings among some of the "South" regions, notably Brazil and Malaysia, to thwart the aims of the UNCED Earth Charter and to thwart the international gathering in Brazil in June 1992. There is also the unfortunate vacillation in our own ranks, an argument that the UNCED leaders have made the agenda "too

political" and that the way must first be prepared on a less abrasive cultural basis. We present only the most recent evidence:

* Gilberto Melio Mourao, the Brazilian writer, warned in the August 4 Folha de Sao Paulo that in Munich in 1938, "it won't against the current type of ecological epidemic, unleashed against our country, which threatens the structure of our cultural, spiritual and political values, and against our very national sovereignty

.... Messrs. Chamberlain and Daladier, heads of the governments of England and France, calmly offered the Brazilian Amazon to the Fuehrer." Hitler reportedly observed that since the Amazon was in South America, the United States would cite the Monroe Doctrine and reject a German occupation of Brazilian territory. Chamberlain and Daladier responded that the proposal had Washington's backing.

* The U.N. Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) issued its annual report September 16th, declaring, according to BBC, that the liberal free market is not an appropriate model for developing nations. Finance should serve industry, not the other way around, and government has a key role to play in certain sectors of the economy.

3. WHAT THE WORLDWIDE FUND FOR NATURE IS SAYING

* An official of the [World Wide Fund](#) for Nature (WWF) said September 10th that the Geneva UNCED results were "absolutely a serious setback." There will be no convention on forests by June 1992 for Brazil. The situation has reached a deadlock. This is the first casualty for the UNCED process.

* A senior advisor on ecological affairs to Britain's Prince Philip said September 15th that Eco-92 organizer Maurice Strong had "over politicized" the issue of environmentalism and had raised "ridiculously messianic expectations."

CONFIDENTIAL

THEREFORE THE FOLLOWING POLICY MUST BE IMPLEMENTED:

A. The **Security Council of the U.N. led by the Anglo-Saxon Major Nation Powers**, will decree that henceforth, the Security Council will inform all nations that its sufferance on population has ended, **that all nations have quotas for population reduction on a yearly basis, which will be enforced by the**

Security Council by selective or total embargo of credit, items of trade including food and medicine, or by military force, when required.

B. The Security Council of the U.N. will inform all nations that outmoded notions of national sovereignty will be discarded **and that the Security Council has complete legal, military and economic jurisdiction in any region in the world and that this will be enforced by the Major Nations of the Security Council.**

C. The Security Council of the U.N. will take possession of all natural resources, including the watersheds and great forests, **to be used and preserved for the good of the Major Nations of the Security Council.**

D. **The Security Council of the U.N. will explain that not all races and peoples are equal, nor should they be. Those races proven superior by superior achievements ought to rule the lesser races, caring for them on sufferance that they cooperate with the Security Council.** Decision making, including **banking**, trade, currency rates and economic development plans, will be made in stewardship by the Major Nations.

E. All of the above constitute the New World Order, in which Order, **all nations, regions, and races will cooperate with the decisions of the Major Nations of the Security Council.**

The purpose of this document is to demonstrate that action delayed could well be fatal. All could be lost if mere opposition by minor races is tolerated and the unfortunate vacillations of our closest comrades is cause for our hesitations. Open declaration of intent followed by decisive force is the final solution. This must be done before any shock hits our financial markets, tarnishing our credibility **and perhaps diminishing our force.**

END OF DOCUMENT

The above document was passed out at the ECO meeting, and we eventually received a copy after almost two years had transpired. We feel that the above document provides sufficient information as to the design of the NWO relative to world population. The telephone number was attempted and found to be associated with **Senator Gephardt.**

A History Timeline of Population Control

1911 Turkey established **gun control.** From 1915-1917, 1.5 million Armenians, unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated

1929 The Soviet Union established [gun control](#). From 1929 to 1953, approximately 20 million dissidents, unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated

1935 China established gun control. From 1948 to 1952, 20 million political dissidents, unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated

1938 Germany established gun control in 1938 and from 1939 to 1945, 6 to 7 million Jews, gypsies, homosexuals, the mentally ill, and 12 million Christians who were unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated

1956 Cambodia established gun control. From 1975 to 1977, one million "educated" people, unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated

1964 Guatemala established gun control. From 1964 to 1981, 100,000 Mayan Indians, unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated

1970 Uganda established gun control. From 1971 to 1979, 300,000 Christians, unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated


Now I don't know which feeling is stronger, anger or fear, at the end of the day who gets to stay or go if United Nations goes ahead

Please tell me whose Ten Commandments are these below

The Gorgia Guidestones to which there are many theories

The Georgia Guidestones are located in Elbert County, Georgia, approximately 90 miles (145 kilometers) east of Atlanta, and 9 miles (15 kilometers) north of the center of Elberton. The monument is situated on a rise a short distance to the east of Georgia Highway 77 (Hartwell Highway), and is visible from that road. Small signs beside the highway indicate the turnoff for the Guidestones, which is identified by a street sign as "Guidestones Rd." The monument is almost twenty feet tall, and made from six granite slabs that weigh more than 100 tons. One slab stands in the center, with four arranged around it. The Georgia Guidestones were ordered, constructed and paid for in total anonymity. **A message comprised of ten guides or commandments** is inscribed on the monument in eight modern languages, and a shorter message is inscribed at the top of the structure in four ancient scripts. A capstone lies on top of the five slabs, which are astronomically aligned. An additional stone tablet, which is set in the ground a short distance to the west of the monument, provides some clarifying notes on the history and purpose of the Guidestones. The Message of the Georgia Guidestones

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely - improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion - faith - tradition - and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth - beauty - love - seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth - Leave room for nature



[Serf's Note] It would be a good idea to not allow yourself to get caught up in the flowery language ... just think about how often you 'bought in' to words coming from a politician and found out you were mistaken. As you do your research,

bear the stones in mind when exploring topics such as population control, social medicine, global government and so called 'green taxes'

Jeez now I'm worried, I think there are 6.5 billion people on earth and rising

One of the most chilling admissions of the elitists' deadly intent to forcibly commit genocide to reduce global population came from the lips of the late Jacques Cousteau, the sainted environmental icon. In an interview with the UNESCO Courier for November 1991 the famed oceanographer said: "

The damage people cause to the planet is a function of demographics it is equal to the degree of development. One American burdens the earth much more than twenty Bangaladeshes. The damage is directly linked to consumption. Our society is turning toward more and needless consumption. It is a vicious circle that I compare to cancer...." "This is a terrible thing to say. In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 3 50,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it's just as bad not to say it."

(From infowars.com, "Population Reduction Agenda for Dummies, 6/26/09)

The Population Council was founded by John D. Rockefeller the 3rd (Now why does this name keep coming up) in 1952. The first president of the Council, Frederick Osborn, was appointed by Rockefeller. Osborn was the leader of the American Eugenics Society, and member of the Galton Society, founded in 1918

Quotes from the (So called) Learned

"If I were reincarnated I would wish to be returned to earth as a killer virus to lower Human population levels." - **Prince Phillip, Duke of Edinburgh, leader of the World Wildlife Fund** - quoted in "Are You Ready For Our New Age Future?," *Insiders Report*, American Policy Center, December '95

"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal." - **Ted Turner - CNN founder and UN supporter** - quoted in the *McAlvany Intelligence Advisor*, June '96

Here's a goodie from multi-multi billionaire, Standard Oil/Exxon Mobil owner, eugenics supporter, financial supporter of copious left wing Communist front groups like the Center for American Progress **and master to Obama's "advisor" Zbigniew Brzezinski** one David Rockefeller on his "concern" for the world's environment

Quote

A prominent theme in reports to the Trilateral Commission, as articulated in one of our very first reports in 1974, has been that "growing interdependence and the inadequacy of present forms of cooperation are the principal features of the contemporary international order." Growing economic interdependence is what most of us have had primarily in mind in such reports and discussions. In this report, Jim MacNeill, Pieter Winsemius, and Taizo Yakushiji ask us all to go "beyond interdependence" in this too narrow economic sense, and to recognize the "meshing of the world's economy and the earth's ecology"

Are these two manifesto's not similar


And now the Communist manifesto

The Communist Manifesto was published on February 21, 1848, and is one of the world's most influential political manuscripts. Commissioned by the Communist League and written by communist theorists Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, it laid out the League's purposes and program. The '**10 Planks**' listed below read like a checklist of events over the last few decades. If you are watching and following events it will be entirely obvious to you that the communist agenda has been and is being implemented in the West. The 10 Planks of Communism

- 1.** Abolition of property in land and application of all rents of land to public purposes.
- 2.** A heavy progressive or graduated income tax.

3. Abolition of all right of inheritance.
4. Confiscation of the property of all emigrants and rebels.
5. Centralization of credit in the hands of the State, by means of a national bank with State capital and an exclusive monopoly.
6. Centralization of the means of communication and transport in the hands of the State.
7. Extension of factories and instruments of production owned by the State; the bringing into cultivation of waste-lands, and the improvement of the soil generally in accordance with a common plan.
8. Equal liability of all to labor. Establishment of industrial armies, especially for agriculture.
9. Combination of agriculture with manufacturing industries; gradual abolition of the distinction between town and country, by a more equitable distribution of the population over the country.
10. Free education for all children in public schools. Abolition of children's factory Labour in its present form. Combination of education with industrial production.

The "10 Planks" describe the "prior conditions" necessary for a transition from capitalism to communism. Marx and Engels later



expressed a desire to modernize this passage



[Serf's Note] Karl Marx was a correspondence and political analyst for Horace Greeley, owner of the New York Times. In 1849 both Horace Greeley and Clinton Roosevelt financially assisted the Communist league in London, in the publication of the Communist Manifesto. There are two cheques made payable to Marx by Nathan Rothschild, which can be seen on display at the British Museum in London" (William Sutton, the Illuminati 666, pg. 201

The second issue being a Human being and how I got wrapped into the Illusion

Man, already I have goose bumps on my goose bumps

Is the Republic of South Africa a Corporation, and if so why, and is it important

What would be the practical significance of a corporate government versus a constitutional government? In one case, the charter is a Corporate charter. In the other case it is a constitution. Both are written documents and both outline the purpose, function, and limitations of the entity they create. The primary difference is that a corporation always is the creation of government, which makes government a higher source with powers **assumed to** be derived from the people themselves

The structure of government is important but not as important as the power of government. That is also true of corporations, They are neither good nor evil by themselves. They can serve man well or be a huge disservice depending entirely on the terms of their charters and the character of those who direct them. Private entrepreneurs, partnerships, and associations have exactly the same capacity for good or evil. Corporations become misled when they acquire political favoritism giving them unfair advantages over competitors and legal immunity from crimes – but exactly the same thing happens with politically connected individuals, partnerships, and associations.

A similar contrast between good and evil is found within governments, whether they are corporations or not. There is little difference between corporations and governments except ownership of stock. Too much is made over the structure of government and too little over the **principles** of government. Do we have a constitutional government in which the constitution is subverted and the electoral system is in the hands of ruling elite?

Every municipality and every city and every institution are corporations, including: "Government of the Republic of South Africa".

The point is this; corporations require consent (contract) in order to exercise jurisdiction over you. You hand over jurisdiction the moment you consent to using a FULL LEGAL NAME, DATE OF BIRTH or ID NUMBER

.League of Nations / United Nations

Human rights, are what every human being needs to live a healthy and fulfilled life, and to participate fully in society. They are entitlements - you have them just because you are human.

Human rights are:

- **Universal** - they apply to everyone equally
- **Inalienable** - they cannot be taken away from people
- **Indivisible** - they are all connected: failure to protect one right can lead to abuse of other rights, just as taking action to fulfil one right can lead to the fulfilment of other rights.

Human rights are underpinned by a set of common values that have been prevalent in societies, civilisations and religions throughout history, such as fairness, respect, equality, dignity and autonomy.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) was drawn up by the United Nations and presented to the world on 10 December 1948

"Where, after all, do universal human rights begin? In small places, close to home - so close and so small that they cannot be seen on any maps of the world. Yet they are the world of the individual person; the neighborhood he lives in; the school or college he attends; the factory, farm, or office where he works. Such are the places where every man, woman, and child seeks equal justice, equal opportunity, equal dignity without discrimination. Unless these rights have meaning there, they have little meaning anywhere. Without concerted citizen action to uphold them close to home, we shall look in vain for progress in the larger world." **Eleanor Roosevelt**

Q) Are the powers that be in South Africa and the rest of the world oblivious to the fact to which we are being subject to, or have they become Illusionist? An inheritance of deception and merely following a trend.

Q) Do they fully understand and will not divulge, or do they themselves not understand, and simply follow a historical pattern.

In the year 2525

Can the words of the song below be true, and the years a lot closer?

In the year 2525 (Wording taken off the internet)

1969 by American pop-rock duo Zager & Evans

Subsequent verses pick up the story at 1010-year intervals from 2525 to 6565. Disturbing predictions are given for each selected year. In the year 3535, for example, all of a person's actions, words and thoughts will be preprogrammed into a daily pill.

The song describes a nightmarish vision of the future as man's technological inventions gradually dehumanize him

The science of [In vitro fertilization](#) and genetic selection by parents of their future children

The overriding theme, of a world doomed by its passive acquiescence to and overdependence on its own overdone technologies

The song describes a nightmarish vision of the future as man's technological inventions gradually dehumanize him

In the year 2525
If man is still alive.
If woman can survive, they may find.

In the year 3535
Ain't gonna need to tell the truth, tell no lies.
Everything you think, do and say, is in the pill you took today.

In the year 4545
Ain't gonna need your teeth, won't need your eyes.
You won't find a thing to chew.
Nobody's gonna look at you.

In the year 5555
Your arms hanging limp at your sides.
Your legs got nothing to do.
Some machine doing that for you.

In the year 6565
Ain't gonna need no husband, won't need no wife.
You'll pick your son, pick your daughter too.
From the bottom of a long glass tube. Whoa-oh

In the year 7510
If God's a-comin, he oughta make it by then.
Maybe he'll look around himself and say.
Guess it's time for the judgment day.

In the year 8510
God is gonna shake his mighty head.
He'll either say. I'm pleased where man has been.
Or tear it down and start again. Whoa-oh

In the year 9595
I'm kinda wonderin if man is gonna be alive.
He's taken everything this old Earth can give.
And he ain't put back nothing. Whoa-oh

Now it's been ten thousand years
Man has cried a billion tears.
For what he never knew,
now man's reign is through.

But through eternal night.

The twinkling of starlight.
So very far away.
Maybe it's only yesterday.

In the year 2525
If man is still alive.
If woman can survive, they may find.
In the year 3535

New World Order

WHAT WILL TRANSPIRE UNDER THE NEW WORLD ORDER

Sorry “**One World Order**”

To explain how a New (One) world order will be enforced, we must first go into a bit of the history prior to the United Nations

The **League of Nations (LON)** was an intergovernmental organization founded as a result of the Paris Peace Conference that ended World War I, and it was the precursor to the United Nations. The League was the first permanent international security organization whose principal mission was to maintain world peace. At its greatest extent from 28 September 1934 to 23 February 1935, it had 58 members. The League's primary goals, as stated in its Covenant, included preventing war through collective security, disarmament, and settling international disputes through negotiation and arbitration. Other issues in this and related treaties included Labour conditions, just treatment of native inhabitants, trafficking in persons and drugs, arms trade, global health, prisoners of war, and protection of minorities in Europe. The diplomatic philosophy behind the League represented a fundamental shift in thought from the preceding hundred years. The

League lacked its own armed force and so depended on the Great Powers to enforce its resolutions, keep to economic sanctions which the League ordered, or provide an army, when needed, for the League to use. However, they were often reluctant to do so.

Sanctions could also hurt the League members, so they were reluctant to comply with them. When, during the Second Italo-Abyssinian War, the League accused Italian soldiers of targeting Red Cross medical tents, Benito Mussolini responded that "the League is very well when sparrows shout, but no good at all when eagles fall out."

After a number of notable successes and some early failures in the 1920s, the League ultimately proved incapable of preventing aggression by the Axis powers in the 1930s. In May 1933, Franz Bernheim, a Jew, complained that his rights as a minority were being violated by the German administration of Upper Silesia, which induced the Germans to defer enforcement of the anti-Jewish laws in the region for several years until the relevant treaty expired in 1937, whereupon they simply refused to renew the League's authority further and renewed anti-Jewish persecution.

Hitler claimed these clauses violated Germany's sovereignty. Germany withdrew from the League, soon to be followed by many other aggressive powers. The onset of World War II showed that the League had failed its primary purpose, which was to avoid any future world war. The United Nations replaced it after the end of the war and inherited a number of agencies and organizations founded by the League

The United Nations

This was created to solve future disputes by words, not war, but was in fact one of the main reasons that the Second World War was fashioned. The UN had been created by the Council on Foreign Relations as early as 1941, four years before its official foundation by representatives of more than 50 countries. The US delegation to the founding meeting in San Francisco, June 1945, included 74 members of the CFR.

The majority of people working for the UN are genuinely seeking to bring peace to the world. However, it is one of the main vehicles for world government and world army and all six UN Secretary Generals have promoted New World Order type attitudes.

More and more areas of our lives are being globalised through UN organizations under different excuses for international jurisdiction—the World Health Organization, UN Population Fund, UN Environment Programme, UNESCO (for education, science and culture).

European Union

The three trading blocks of Europe, the Americas and Asia-Australasia are the stepping stones through which government is being centralized before global control.

NAFTA (North Atlantic Free Trade Agreement), which has recently been extended, and APEC (Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation) look set to follow the example of Europe which is developing from a trade co-operative to a United States of Europe.

The European Economic Community (EEC) was largely the brain-child of Jean Monnet and Joseph Retinger (a founder of the Bilderberg group). It was funded by loans devised by Monnet and the CFR and was formally created in 1957 by the Treaty of Rome, drafted by Monnet and the CFR. If any country showed a reluctance to join, the US pro-Europe position was made very clear to the 'offending' country.

The EEC has since become the European Community and now the European Union. Monetary union has been confirmed by the Maastricht treaty and the situation moves ever closer to a Federal Europe. In 1980, the EC drew up a map of regions within a proposed Federal Europe. England did not feature as an administrative unit and the regions defined in the former Yugoslavia are those which have since been created by the recent war. The public have always been kept in the dark about the extent of the union, with Prime Ministers Heath, Wilson and Callaghan all accepting the renunciation of sovereignty and incorporation into a Federal Europe. Margaret Thatcher served her economic purpose with Reagan in the 1980s but her resistance to European Union ensured her downfall in 1990. Her demise was decided upon by the 1989 meeting of the Bilderberg group.

In the June 1995, Bilderberg meeting, attended by Norman Lamont and William Waldegrave, John Major was threatened with a similar fate if he didn't back a Federal Europe. The leadership challenge by John Redwood, supported by Lamont, was based on their alleged opposition to Federalization. Major conveniently won and purged his Cabinet of anti-federalists.

The Illusion of Democracy

Centralization of power would not be accepted by the public if it was imposed directly; but by offering an apparent choice in the democratic elections of Britain the US and most country's under the auspices of Democracy, people are sold the **illusion** that actions of politicians are accountable to the majority.

In America, presidencies are won through money, so those who control the financial resources dictate who becomes president and the president is then under obligation to those who funded him. The apparent differences between Republicans and Democrats are a façade as epitomized by George Bush, the Republican President 1989-1993, and Bill Clinton, the current Democratic President. Both are members of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission; **both are 33rd degree masons**; both support GATT, NAFTA, centralization and economic growth at the expense of humanity and the environment; and both are heavily involved in drug trafficking, child abuse, murder and the Iran-Contra affair.

In the British 'democracy' a person's allegiance to a political party is largely dictated by income and image. The majority of constituencies are 'safe seats' because due to the affluence, or otherwise, of an area the people automatically vote Conservative or Labour, respectively. Any candidate who toes the Elite line can be easily installed in parliament through these seats and subsequently MPs are instructed how to vote by their party. Those who attempt to be individuals and not support their party on certain issues face sanctions, whereas those who are willing to do as they are told advance rapidly. MPs are bribed to ask questions on behalf of certain companies, they often have external directorships and consultancies and on leaving government they often move into top commercial posts. For example, Lord Wakeham, who was instrumental in the privatization of the electrical industry, became a director of N.M. Rothschild who had made a fortune from the privatization. Other directors of N.M. Rothschild include

Norman Lamont (former Chancellor), Lord Armstrong (one of Thatcher's cabinet secretaries during privatization), Clive Whitmore (Home Office permanent secretary) and Frank Cooper (Ministry of Defence permanent secretary).

As early as 1940 Harold Wilson was preaching a centralized Federal Europe and outlined a plan of infiltration of the Labour and Conservative parties to form a centre party of moderates which could

brand any genuine opposition as extremists. The plan also included the destruction of the British manufacturing industries. Between 1964 and 1975, Wilson (Labour) was Prime Minister, except when he was replaced in 1970-74 by his Bilderberg colleague Edward Heath (Conservative). The two of them ran down British industry, limited MI5 investigational powers and moved towards European Union. Wilson was aided by Lord Victor Rothschild as the head of his Central Policy Review Staff, and his Chancellor Denis Healey (Bilderberg, TC, RIIA).

Today, all political parties agree on the major issues—Major, Blair and Ashdown are all in favour of European Union, a single currency and bank, Maastricht, GATT and Western consumerism.

Engineered Wars

War is one of the most effective ways of culling an 'undesirable' population as Thomas Ferguson, a member of the Office of Population Affairs explains

'To reduce the population quickly you have to pull all the males into the fighting and kill significant numbers of fertile, child-bearing age females.'

From his position of 'shuttle' diplomat, Henry Kissinger has successfully engineered conflict throughout the world. In Vietnam, the war was caused by the movement of hundreds of thousands of people from the north to the south – a move forced on them by the Saigon Military Mission, created by the CIA in 1954. With no food, they resorted to theft, and by labeling the bands 'the Viet Cong' a problem was created. Under the pretext that they were controlled by the Khmer Rouge, the North Vietnamese were severely bombed. According to estimates, 30-500,000 Cambodians died in the bombings, when in fact China was the power behind North Vietnam, supported by Kissinger with US/China liaisons headed by George Bush. The Khmer Rouge reacted, as expected, and took Cambodia, murdering 32% of the population. During the war, the CIA station in Saigon coordinated *Operation Phoenix* which reportedly murdered 40,000 Vietnamese on 'suspicion' of working for the Viet Cong – that is, they could read and write. Two of the US commanders in the conflict were **Maxwell Taylor** and **William Westmoreland**, both members of the [Population Crisis Council and Draper Fund](#).

The Yom Kippur war and countless other 'civil wars' in Central America and Africa have been engineered by Kissinger to cull populations as even when it is not the prime aim; mass killings are perceived as a useful by-product of war.

Kissinger is a member of the Club of Rome and in 1974 supervised the production of National Security Study Memo 200 about the implications of population growth. This stated that population growth in the developing world would lead to a desire for self determination of their economies. It continued that the population must therefore be controlled, but this fact must be withheld from the country's leaders. Amongst the countries specifically targeted were Ethiopia, Columbia, India, Nigeria, Mexico and Indonesia.

Indonesia is a horrendous example of conflict creation for the purposes of eugenics and corporate control, while public bodies and the media remain obstinately silent. General Suharto took control of Indonesia in 1965 through a CIA-backed coup and has since been responsible for

500,000 murders in his own country. However, because his administration is subservient to Western corporations, allowing them to exploit the land and the people (e.g. Reebok), this appalling tragedy goes unchallenged in the media. In December 1975 Indonesia invaded the Portuguese colony of East Timor and, in the following years, proceeded to slaughter 200,000 people, a third of the Timorese population. This genocide (eugenics) has been carried out with arms from Britain (British Aerospace's Hawk Jets) and US, approval from the West (Kissinger and Ford were in Indonesia days before the invasion) and complete silence in the mass media. The simple reason is that oil and gas reserves had been discovered off the coast of East Timor which the multinational oil companies could exploit only if controlled by a corporate-friendly culture – like Indonesia.

Albert Pike himself foretold all this in a statement he made to Mazzini on August 15, 1871. Pike stated that after World War III (**How did he know of WW111**) is ended; those who will aspire to undisputed world domination will provoke the greatest social cataclysm the world has ever known. Quoting his own words taken from the letter he wrote to Mazzini and which letter is now catalogued in the British Museum in London, England; he said

*"We shall unleash the **nihilists and the atheists** and we shall provoke a great social cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to all nations the effect of absolute atheism; the origins of savagery and of most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the people will be forced to defend themselves against the world minority of the world revolutionaries and will exterminate those destroyers of civilization and the multitudes disillusioned with Christianity whose spirits will be from that moment without direction and leadership and anxious for an ideal, but without knowledge where to send its adoration, **will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer** brought finally out into public view. A manifestation which will result from a general reactionary movement which will follow the **destruction of Christianity and Atheism**; both ! conquered and exterminated at the same time."*

What was advocated then is happening already

Another conspiracy, I think not

**What you do about it is your choice, it may be the last
you have**

In Conclusion to Freeman

Whether these principles have a firm basis in law is of considerable interest, it is, as we have said, not the sole or even the important aspect of the Freeman. The key lies in the assertion of the sovereignty of the individual, the opposition to a bureaucratic state, and the willingness through lawful and peaceful means to argue the operations of that state where they are perceived to transgress upon the inalienable rights of the individual. The persistent debate to the system of civil law has the potential to effect fundamental change in the basis of the relationship between the state and the individual.

By promoting and upholding the proper execution of the Law. Which is to hold police officers, government agents, and judges accountable for unlawful legal actions that violate and damage our rights, and we encourage them to operate peacefully and lawfully within their Oath of Office, Code of Conduct and Code of Ethics. Will society guide people to becoming free human beings on the land and provide a system of Common Law remedy, and function within the parameters of the Magna Carta, described as "the greatest constitutional document of all times – the foundation of the freedom of the individual against the arbitrary authority of the despot", together with communication and networking support so you can live life with peace and abundance. These systems are not Legal in nature, but Lawful to allow human being to live under lawful definitions instead of Legal definitions, which separates a PERSON from a Freeman and a Human Being. A Freeman is a human being living in a Common law jurisdiction under God, who has revoked consent to be governed by human laws that are not in accordance with Gods laws.

These being

Inaccessible courts and justice systems, Police officers accidentally killing unarmed and peaceful people, Private Corporations who seek to buy and manipulate our Governments, Politicians, Banking systems and resources, use entire nations as exploitable resources without alleviating the poverty and suffering, the poisons in our air, food and water.

Many people across the world are seeking solutions to these issues; there is a growing degradation and disregard of human rights.

The founding fathers and free people created laws based on the belief in the principals of the supremacy of God and the rule of law that does not fully exist today. The intelligence of the people have been manipulated by those who control social mechanisms. If indeed non-corrupt lawful government systems improved and benefited all civilizations many articles written would have been inconsequential, however corrupt systems have continued to leave nations destitute and impoverished. History has shown that the most powerful and wealthy people around the world have created Elite groups who have manipulated Governments, Organizations and the Corporate-Social-Financial-Educational-Medical-Political-Legal and Religious systems and other social mechanisms of the world. The effect of manipulated governments and social responsibilities have created segregated cultures within society, most these cultures are ignorant or unaware of how this affect their lives. It is not the system that is the problem, it is the abuse of the system by powerful people, whose aim is total domination of all mankind.

Until we as Men bring back the principals of our forefathers “Honesty, Integrity and Respect” we will fall.


People do not create wars, wars are created for self gain by the Elite, for enrichment and domination through the manipulation of Governments, over inflated taxes are the result of over spending and debt repayments that have transpired through “Relief of burden” by organizations that seek to dominate,

Is our Constitution and Bill of Rights slowly being eroded, what has happened to our Government Ministers “ Oath of Office”, Is the judiciary only for the rich and famous, as with our forefathers true justice would prevail under a “Jury of 12”

If you DENY the NAME, you exist under the common laws as a human being, and no one can force any form of ACT / STATUTE over you, unless you consent to them. However to practice this you will have to protect your sovereignty at every given moment rebutting any claim made against you by knowing who you are.

So, trying to defend your sovereignty by referring to such a state is a fundamental mistake in my opinion. A mistake that could cost you dearly. You see, even though you may perceive that some law, some constitutional article, can "save" you - you are ignoring the fact that the state can simply rewrite laws and constitutions for its own benefit. As awareness of true human sovereignty spreads around the globe, and disillusionment with the nation state grows, there will arise more and more means of increasing one's practical freedom, one's sovereignty.

Mark 7:6-9 GNB says, "Jesus answered them, "How right Isaiah was when he prophesied about you! You are hypocrites, just as he wrote: 'These people, says God, honor me with their words, but their heart is really far away from me. It is no use for them to worship me, because they teach human rules as though they were my laws!' "You put aside God's command and obey human teachings." And Jesus continued, "You have a clever way of rejecting God's law in order to uphold your own teaching



THE END

Many thanks to the following for the availability of information

Alec McGregor of the Family Cairns
The South African Constitution
The Bill of Rights
The Bill of Exchange Act
The South African Reserve Bank Act 90 of 1989
Banks Act 1990
Mutual Banks Act 1993
Securities Transfer Tax Act 2007
Uncertified Securities Tax Act 1998
Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act,
2003,
The South African Police Services

History of The Bank of England
Traditional Leadership and Governance Framework Act,
2003,
The Development, Concepts and Doctrine Centre 2007 –
2036
The Usury Act of 1968
Blacks Law 8th edition
Investigation into the payment system in South African Law
1994
THE PROTECTION FROM HARASSMENT BILL
The United Nations Charter
IMF
Federal Reserve Bank
Criminal Procedure Act 1977
Justice of the Peace and Commissioners of Oaths Act 1963